



**CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (CPUC)  
ENERGY DIVISION DATA REQUEST**

March 21, 2011

To: Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E)

Please provide a response to each item listed below no later than March 31, 2011. Send the response via e-mail to [cpe@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:cpe@cpuc.ca.gov) and [ram@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:ram@cpuc.ca.gov).

Contact Eugene Cadenasso at (415) 703-1214 or [cpe@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:cpe@cpuc.ca.gov) with any questions.

---

- 1) When did the Gas Pipeline Replacement Program (GPRP) start and is it currently in effect?
- 2) Does the GPRP just involve pipeline replacements or other work as well (e.g., pipeline inspections, maintenance, etc.)? Specify what pipeline related work would not be included in the GPRP?
- 3) Since the beginning of the GPRP to the present, does/did it involve the repair, replacement, and other activities identified in Item # 2 of both gas distribution and transmission pipelines? If not, explain:
  - a) when the program was changed;
  - b) why the program was changed, and;
  - c) what PG&E program(s) involves transmission pipeline repairs, replacements, and other activities identified in Item # 2 from the time the change occurred to the present. Indicate if a formal PG&E program does not exist for these activities.
- 4) Provide PG&E workpapers for all gas transmission and distribution pipeline capital projects (whether included in the GPRP or not) for the following General Rate Case and Gas Accord proceedings:
  - a) A.85-12-050 (1987 Test Year GRC)
  - b) A.88-12-005 (1990 Test Year GRC)
  - c) A.91-11-036 et al (1993 Test Year GRC)
  - d) A. 94-12-005 (1996 Test Year GRC)

March 21, 2011 CPUC ED data request

- e) A.92-12-043 et al (Gas Accord)
  - f) A.01-10-011 (Gas Accord)
  - g) A.04-12-050 (Gas Accord)
- 5) Provide all PG&E testimony in the above proceedings that discuss gas transmission or distribution pipeline replacement or repair.
- 6) The following items correspond to Job Number 51958719 (Callan Blvd., Daly City) that appears in GPRP Annual Reports for 1993, 1994, 1995, and 1996 submitted to the CPUC.
- a) Describe in detail the work associated with Job Number 51958719 (Callan Blvd., Daly City) including all work involving Line 132 and/or Line 109 as well as any other work at or near the vicinity of the September 9, 2010 San Bruno pipeline rupture.
  - b) Provide a map showing the location of such work which includes pipeline line numbers, milepost numbers, city, and street designations.
  - c) Was all the work associated with Job Number 5195817 completed? If not, explain whether any of the unfinished work involved Line 132 and/or Line 109, and, if so, discuss what work was not completed, and why the work was uncompleted.
  - d) If the project involved Line 132 and/or Line 109, did PG&E request funding for the project in an application or other filing submitted to the CPUC? If so, specify the amount of the request and the amount approved or denied by the CPUC. Provide CPUC decision number(s) approving or denying the request and all supporting documentation for the request (e.g., application, workpapers, exhibits, etc.). Cite the page numbers that include information relevant to PG&E's request.
  - e) If the CPUC approved funds for work on Line 132 and/or Line 109, did PG&E spend the entire amount of the authorization on these pipelines? If not, explain why.
- 7) Other than any Line 132 and/or Line 109 work described above, describe in detail and by project all the work (either in the GPRP or outside this program) PG&E planned to undertake involving Line 132 and/or Line 109. Identify the year such work was to begin and be completed as well as actual start and completion dates. If any work was not completed, explain why. Also provide the following:
- a) Identify the amount of annual funding for each project by pipeline number PG&E requested in an application or other filing submitted to the CPUC. Identify the application or other filing to the CPUC containing the request. Specify the amount approved or denied by the CPUC. Provide CPUC decision

- number(s) approving or denying the requests and all supporting documentation for the request (e.g., application, workpapers, exhibits, etc.). Cite the page numbers that include information relevant to PG&E's request.
- b) Identify, by project, the annual amount of funds the CPUC approved for work on Line 132 and/or Line 109 and the annual amount PG&E spent. If PG&E did not spend the amount authorized for each project involving Line 132 and/or Line 109, explain why.
- c) Provide all actual annual replacement or repair costs incurred by PG&E on Line 132 and/or Line 109 from 1987 through 2010.
- 8) In Decision 89-12-057 (34 CPUC 2d, p. 290), the Commission discussed a PG&E project modernizing the Milpitas Gas Terminal and to relocate pipelines in the vicinity of the Milpitas Gas Terminal. Did this project involve Line 132 and/or Line 109? If so, describe the work that was proposed and whether it was completed.
- 9) Provide the following in hard copy and excel spreadsheet format for the GPRP from its beginning until transmission projects were considered by PG&E in a different program (see Item # 3):
- a) Amount requested by PG&E in its applications or other filings to the CPUC categorized by year, distribution, transmission, operations and maintenance expense (O&M), and capital projects. Identify any amounts requested for work related to Line 132 and/or Line 109 and a description of the work (include pipeline milepost numbers and location of the work). Provide all supporting documentation (e.g., application, testimony, workpapers, etc) with cites for each request.
- b) Amount the CPUC authorized categorized by year, distribution, transmission, O&M expense, and capital projects. Identify any amounts approved for work related to Line 132 and/or Line 109. Cite relevant decision or resolution number.
- c) Amount PG&E spent on the GPRP since the program began to the present categorized by year, distribution, transmission, O&M, and capital projects. Identify amount spent on work related to Line 132 and/or Line 109 and a description of the work (include pipeline milepost numbers and location of the work).
- d) Identify any amounts reported above that consist of administrative & general, common, or overhead expenses.
- 10) Provide the following in hard copy and excel spreadsheet format for the years that transmission pipeline projects were considered by PG&E in a different program other than the GPRP (see Item # 3):

- a) Amount requested by PG&E in its applications or other filings to the CPUC for categorized by year, O&M, and capital projects. Identify any amounts requested for work related to Line 132 and/or Line 109 and a description of the work (include pipeline milepost numbers and location of the work). Provide all supporting documentation (e.g., application, testimony, workpapers, etc) with cites for each request.
  - b) Amount the CPUC authorized categorized by year, O&M expense, and capital projects. Identify any amounts approved for work related to Line 132 and/or Line 109, from 1986 through 2010. Cite relevant decision or resolution number.
  - c) Amount PG&E spent categorized by year, O&M, and capital projects. Identify amounts spent on work related to Line 132 and/or Line 109 and a description of the work from 1986 through 2010 (include pipeline milepost numbers and location of the work).
  - d) Identify any amounts reported above that consist of administrative & general, common, or overhead expenses.
- 11) If PG&E spent less than the amount that the CPUC authorized for the GPRP or gas transmission program (see Item # 3), explain why. Additionally, identify the amount underspent by year; and describe the disposition of the surplus funds.
- 12) Since the beginning of the GPRP to the present, does/did PG&E have the authority to shift unspent funds from one element of the GPRP to another (e.g., can PG&E shift unspent funds projected to be used for gas distribution pipeline replacements to gas transmission pipeline replacement projects?) If not, explain why.
- 13) Since the time PG&E considered gas transmission work in another program (see Item # 3) to the present, does/did PG&E have the authority to shift unspent funds from one element of the program to another (e.g., can PG&E shift unspent funds projected to be used for O&M to gas transmission pipeline replacement projects?). If not, explain why.
- 14) Did PG&E postpone, discontinue or decide not to undertake any projects or work related to Line 132 and/or Line 109 that it proposed in an application or workpaper(s) to do? If so, describe the projects and explain why.

**END**