

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
San Bruno GT Line Rupture Investigation  
Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	CPUC_100-07		
PG&E File Name:	SanBrunoGT-LineRuptureInvestigation_DR_CPUC_100-Q07		
Request Date:	March 21, 2011	Requester DR No.:	
Date Sent:	May 10, 2011	Requesting Party:	CPUC (ED)
		Requester:	Eugene Cadenasso

**QUESTION 7**

Other than any Line 132 and/or Line 109 work described above, describe in detail and by project all the work (either in the GPRP or outside this program) PG&E planned to undertake involving Line 132 and/or Line 109. Identify the year such work was to begin and be completed as well as actual start and completion dates. If any work was not completed, explain why. Also provide the following:

- a) Identify the amount of annual funding for each project by pipeline number PG&E requested in an application or other filing submitted to the CPUC. Identify the application or other filing to the CPUC containing the request. Specify the amount approved or denied by the CPUC. Provide CPUC decision number(s) approving or denying the requests and all supporting documentation for the request (e.g., application, workpapers, exhibits, etc.). Cite the page numbers that include information relevant to PG&E's request.
- b) Identify, by project, the annual amount of funds the CPUC approved for work on Line 132 and/or Line 109 and the annual amount PG&E spent. If PG&E did not spend the amount authorized for each project involving Line 132 and/or Line 109, explain why.
- c) Provide all actual annual replacement or repair costs incurred by PG&E on Line 132 and/or Line 109 from 1987 through 2010.

**ANSWER 7**

Table 7-1 (SanBrunoGT-LineRuptureInvestigation\_DR\_CPUC\_100-Q07Atch01) shows the pipeline replacement and rerouting projects that PG&E has undertaken on Lines 109 and 132 from 1985 to 2010, including work conducted under the GPRP. PG&E has completed all of the projects identified in Table 7-1.

PG&E has relied on data from a variety of sources in completing Table 7-1. Given the long period of time involved, the same data sources and level of detail are not available for all of the listed projects. For example, for the period before PG&E's implementation of the SAP accounting system in 1997, PG&E has recorded cost data only for projects done under the GPRP, which reported jobs with planned estimated costs of greater than \$250,000 and incurred expenditures of over \$10,000 in the year reported. PG&E has

reviewed the available rate case applications, opening testimony and work papers for 1987-2010 for planned projects on Lines 109 and 132. The only pipeline replacement project on Line 109 or Line 132 that was planned for 1987-2010 but not completed of which PG&E is currently aware is the planned project to replace a portion of Line 132 at mile post 42.13-43.55 described in PG&E's response to data request CPUC 100, Question 14. The segment in question is scheduled for replacement in 2012.

The following responds to the three subparts of the Commission's data request:

- a. See PG&E's responses to data request CPUC 100, Questions 9 and 10 and CPUC 115, Question 2.
- b. See PG&E's responses to data request CPUC 100, Questions 9, 10 and 14.
- c. See Table 7.1 (SanBrunoGT-LineRuptureInvestigation\_DR\_CPUC\_100-Q07Atch01)