BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Rulemaking Regarding Whether, or Subject to What Conditions, the Suspension of Direct Access May Be Lifted Consistent with Assembly Bill 1X and Decision 01-09-060)))	Rulemaking 07-05-025 (Filed May 24, 2007)
Bill 1A and Decision 01-09-000)	

NOTICE OF EXPARTE COMMUNICATION

Pursuant to Rule 8.4 of the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission)

Rules of Practice and Procedure, the Power and Water Resources Pooling Authority (PWRPA)

hereby gives notice of the following *ex parte* communication.

The communication occurred on June 30, 2011 at approximately 4:50 p.m., and consisted of an e-mail sent from Scott Blaising, counsel for PWRPA, to Michael Colvin, energy advisor for Commissioner Mark Ferron. A copy of the e-mail is attached to this notice.

The e-mail from Mr. Blaising was sent in response to an *ex parte* notice provided by the Federal Executive Agencies ($\Box FEA\Box$). In an attachment to its *ex parte* notice, FEA suggests, among other things, that the Commission modify the proposed decision on FEA \Box s pending petition for modification by adding a conclusion of law stating that the Western Area Power Administration ($\Box WAPA\Box$) is \Box a 'marketer' as defined in Section 331 of the Public Utilities Code, and is an 'other provider' as defined in Section 365.1(a). \Box

In his e-mail to Mr. Colvin, Blaising stated that PWRPA does not take a position on FEA's pending petition for modification, or on the proposed decision. However, Blaising stated that PWRPA objects to FEA's suggested conclusion of law. Blaising communicated that PWRPA and its participants/customers receive preference power from WAPA, and that a host of

peripheral issues would be implicated by concluding that WAPA is a "marketer" or an "other provider." Blaising stated that the record in this proceeding is insufficient to reach this conclusion, and more importantly resolution of these issues is unnecessary in order to reach the outcome FEA seeks in its suggested modifications to the proposed decision. Accordingly, Blaising requested that the proposed decision not be modified to incorporate FEA® conclusion of law.

Respectfully submitted,

Scott Blaising

BRAUN BLAISING MCLAUGHLIN, P.C.

915 L Street, Suite 1270

Sacramento, California 95814

(916) 682-9702

blaising@braunlegal.com

July 1, 2011

Attorney for the

Power and Water Resources Pooling Authority