From: Bottorff, Thomas E

Sent: 7/12/2011 8:09:50 AM

To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7);

'paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov' (paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov)

Cc: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC);

Hartman, Sanford (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SLHb);

'frank.lindh@cpuc.ca.gov' (frank.lindh@cpuc.ca.gov);

'michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov' (michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov)

Bcc:

Subject: Re: Fwd: SFGate: In court, PG&E deflects blame for San Bruno blast

Sandy Hartman will be calling Frank this morning to explain what we filed in our response (and send you a copy). The filing does not say that we will assign some of the blame for the losses to the residents.

Tom

-----Original Message-----

From: Cherry, Brian K

Sent: Monday, July 11, 2011 10:01 PM

To: 'paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov'; Bottorff, Thomas E

Cc: 'frank.lindh@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov'; Horner, Trina Subject: Re: Fwd: SFGate: In court, PG&E deflects blame for San Bruno blast

Paul - this is the first I've heard of this. I find it very hard to believe that this is true and not taken out of context. Let me follow up tomorrow.

---- Original Message -----

From: Clanon, Paul [mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Monday, July 11, 2011 09:15 PM

To: Cherry, Brian K

Cc: Frank Lindh sfrank.lindh@cpuc.ca.gov; Michelle Cooke smithelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov>

Subject: Fwd: SFGate: In court, PG&E deflects blame for San Bruno blast

Does he have his facts right here?

- > Monday, July 11, 2011 (SF Chronicle)
- > In court, PG&E deflects blame for San Bruno blast <a class="email fn"
- > href="mailto:jvanderbeken@sfchronicle.com">Jaxon Van Derbeken,
- > Chronicle Staff Writer

>

- > (07-11) 19:12 PDT SAN BRUNO -- Pacific Gas and Electric Co., which
- > issued a public apology last month for the 2010 natural gas explosion
- > in San Bruno, says in a new court filing that it should not have to
- > make payouts to victims who have sued the company because the blast
- > was caused by third-party damage to a "state of the art" pipeline.

- > The company also indicated it would seek to assign some of the blame
- > for the losses from the explosion to residents themselves.
- > PG&E has offered unsolicited cash payments to residents of the
- > neighborhood devastated in the Sept. 9 explosion, which killed eight
- > people and destroyed 38 homes. Last month, the company bought
- > full-page newspaper ads headlined, "We Apologize," and noted that
- > federal metallurgists looking into the cause of the explosion have
- > said the pipe ruptured at a faulty weld.
- > However, in a July 5 filing in San Mateo County Superior Court in
- > response to more than 100 lawsuits seeking millions of dollars in
- > damages, PG&E's lawyers took a more combative stance. The company said
- > it should not be held liable for the disaster because "unforeseeable,
- > intervening and/or superseding acts of persons or entities" other than PG&E caused the blast.
- > Sewer project
- > The filing is not specific, but the only known activity around the
- > pipeline was a 2008 sewer-replacement project that San Bruno commissioned.
- > The city and the private contractor that performed the work, D'Arcy
- > and Harty Construction of San Francisco, say they took steps to ensure
- > that the work which involved breaking up old sewer pipe and
- > replacing it with a plastic line did not damage the PG&E transmission pipe.
- > Aside from its court filing, PG&E has said nothing publicly about
- > the sewer work. It had an inspector at the job site who approved the
- > construction plans, but he wasn't there while the work was performed.
- > Pointing the finger
- > However, in May, an industry trade group which PG&E joined after
- > the blast said the sewer project had probably weakened the pipe and
- > told federal investigators they should consider tests to account for
- > the possibility.
- > Last month, an expert hired by a state-appointed panel also
- > suggested that the sewer work had probably played a role in the
- > explosion. But the expert, Robert Nickell, later backed away from that
- > finding, telling The Chronicle that fluctuations in gas pressure over
- > the years could have damaged the already flawed weld that eventually failed.
- > In its court filing, PG&E also said the blast victims themselves
- > "may have been legally responsible under a doctrine of comparative
- > negligence (or) contributory negligence" factors that assign a
- > portion of blame to a plaintiff.
- > PG&E's filing did not specify how residents might have been
- > negligent, or how that negligence contributed to the fireball that consumed their homes.
- > Company spokeswoman Brittany Chord said Monday that the filing was
- > "a standard part of the legal process," and that the company would
- > "continue to move forward and work to resolve the claims of all
- > parties as quickly as possible." City surprised
- > San Bruno City Manager Connie Jackson said PG&E's court filing was
- > "completely surprising." She added, "It's unfortunate that they have
- > thrown everything plus the kitchen sink in this, to cover their legal
- > strategy."
- > Michael Danko, an attorney who represents 40 blast victims, said
- > PG&E officials "know no bounds."
- > "I can forgive them for saying third party you can forgive that,"
- > Danko said. "But you cannot forgive them at all for blaming the victims."

- > He added, "They had time to figure this out and decide whether they
- > really wanted to blame the victims who lost their homes or were
- > burned. After thinking about it for nine months, they said the answer
- > was yes." Already paid?
- > PG&E also suggested the payments that the company has already made
- > to some residents meant their lawsuits were invalidated "in whole or
- > in part." The firm made cash payouts of \$15,000 to \$50,000 after the
- > blast, on a scale that depended on the extent of damage, but said at
- > the time that the payments had no bearing on civil damages.
- > PG&E's filing also downplayed claims in the lawsuits that the
- > company was running a shoddy pipeline system. The company said its
- > transmission pipes, including the one that exploded, were "consistent > with available technological, scientific and industrial state-of-the
- > art and in compliance with applicable regulation." E-mail Jaxon Van
- > Derbeken at jvanderbeken@sfchronicle.com.

> Copyright 2011 SF Chronicle