

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
San Bruno GT Line Rupture Investigation
Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	CPUC_136-03-05-CONF		
PG&E File Name:	SanBrunoGT-LineRuptureInvestigation_DR_CPUC_136-Q03-Q05-CONF		
Request Date:	July 12, 2011	Requester DR No.:	
Date Sent:	July 14, 2011	Requesting Party:	CPUC (CPSD)
		Requester:	Sunil Shori

This response and the attachments to this response contain sensitive personal information pertaining to PG&E employees, such as employee names. For this reason, and only for this reason, PG&E is providing the responses pursuant to Public Utilities Code section 583. The dissemination of employee information contained in the responses raise privacy concerns. Therefore, PG&E believes that such information should remain confidential and not be subject to public disclosure.

QUESTION 3

Number of cut-outs completed as part of hydrostatic test program (specify those cut-outs that were completed as a result of visual inspection).

QUESTION 4

Please provide the number of sections removed due to visual indications including the segment from which they were removed.

QUESTION 5

We request PG&E provide details on why it selected the six samples, from among all of those visually noted as being deficient, for removal and testing. In addition, we request PG&E provide copies of the chain of custody forms for the six samples, verified by PG&E's independent quality control consultants, along with all photographs of the samples.

Answer 3, 4 and 5

Per discussion between Sunil Shori of CPSD and Glen Carter of PG&E on 7/13, PG&E is providing an integrated response to identify and explain all "cut-outs" done for cause to date, including the associated driver for the decision to cut-out, the chain of custody documentation and any photos associated with the cut-outs. This is being provided as a revised and expanded response to questions #3, #4 and #5.

In summary, PG&E has identified a total of nine "cut-outs" that were done for cause. All requested information related to the cut-outs is summarized in SanBrunoGT-

LineRuptureInvestigation_DR_CPUC_136-Q03-Q05Atch01. Additional files associated with the Chain of Custody documentation and available photos are included as the following attachments:

- 1) SanBrunoGT-LineRuptureInvestigation_DR_CPUC_136-Q03-Q05Atch03-CONF (Reference 1)
- 2) SanBrunoGT-LineRuptureInvestigation_DR_CPUC_136-Q03-Q05Atch04-CONF (Reference 2)
- 3) SanBrunoGT-LineRuptureInvestigation_DR_CPUC_136-Q03-Q05Atch05-CONF (Reference 3)
- 4) SanBrunoGT-LineRuptureInvestigation_DR_CPUC_136-Q03-Q05Atch06-CONF (Reference 4)
- 5) SanBrunoGT-LineRuptureInvestigation_DR_CPUC_136-Q03-Q05Atch07-CONF (Reference 5)
- 6) SanBrunoGT-LineRuptureInvestigation_DR_CPUC_136-Q03-Q05Atch08-CONF (Reference 6)
- 7) SanBrunoGT-LineRuptureInvestigation_DR_CPUC_136-Q03-Q05Atch09-CONF (Reference 7)
- 8) SanBrunoGT-LineRuptureInvestigation_DR_CPUC_136-Q03-Q05Atch10-CONF (Reference 8)
- 9) SanBrunoGT-LineRuptureInvestigation_DR_CPUC_136-Q03-Q05Atch11-CONF (Reference 9)

These nine attachments tie to the reference numbers used to identify the cut-outs in SanBrunoGT-LineRuptureInvestigation_DR_CPUC_136-Q03-Q05Atch01.

Attachment SanBrunoGT-LineRuptureInvestigation_DR_CPUC_136-Q03-Q05Atch02 provides similar summary and reference information for the three samples sent to EWI and awaiting testing as referenced within Q#5. To clarify the number of girth weld samples sent to EWI for testing is actually three, instead of six samples as mentioned in Q#5. These three samples are actually included within TWO cut-outs (sections of chain of custody pipe) as reflected in SanBrunoGT-LineRuptureInvestigation_DR_CPUC_136-Q03-Q05Atch02.