

From: Cherry, Brian K
Sent: 7/7/2011 9:50:34 AM
To: pac@cpuc.ca.gov (pac@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: FW: Ktvu.com: Suisun City residents ask PG&E for pipeline records

FYI. I think this explains it.

From: Loduca, Janet C.
Sent: Wednesday, July 06, 2011 07:06 PM
To: Loduca, Janet C.; Johns, Christopher; Pruett, Greg S.; Johnson, Kirk; Hartman, Sanford (Law)
Cc: Stavropoulos, Nickolas; Kiyota, Travis; Foley, Beth; Garber, Stephen (Law); Lewis IV, Charles (Law); [Redacted]
Subject: RE: Ktvu.com: Suisun City residents ask PG&E for pipeline records

Chris - Here's some additional background on the story.

We have a long history of working with Mr. Moscarelli on pipeline issues and have provided him with a lot of pipeline information in the past. In fact, just a few weeks ago we invited him to observe the pigging of Line 210.

However, in this case, Mr. Moscarelli did not request the information directly from PG&E.

Rather, it appears that the genesis of the statement in the article was a request Mr. Moscarelli made to the CPUC in May of this year seeking a copy of PG&E's pipeline class density evaluation data on lines 210A and 210B. Because the pipeline class density study contains some confidential information (e.g., valve locations), it was submitted to the CPUC under confidentiality rules. As a result, the CPUC declined to release the information to Mr. Moscarelli.

We have contacted with Mr. Moscarelli and offered to provide him with the non-confidential information he is seeking. We will also follow-up with the reporter to make sure they check with us before publishing any more stories on this issue.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Janet

From: Loduca, Janet C.
Sent: Wednesday, July 06, 2011 4:43 PM
To: Johns, Christopher; Pruett, Greg S.; Johnson, Kirk; Hartman, Sanford (Law)
Cc: Stavropoulos, Nickolas; Kiyota, Travis; Foley, Beth

Subject: RE: Ktvu.com: Suisun City residents ask PG&E for pipeline records

Chris - We were not contacted by the reporter for this story.

I understand that we've previously provided detailed pipeline maps to Suisun City and first responders. We've also recently contacted city officials regarding the class location filing last week and they are satisfied with the actions we're taking to ensure the safety of the lines.

We're looking into whether the individual quoted in the article requested information from PG&E and if so, what our response was. I'll let you know what we find out and we'll also follow-up with the reporter.

Janet

From: Johns, Christopher
Sent: Wednesday, July 06, 2011 3:03 PM
To: Pruett, Greg S.; Loduca, Janet C.; Johnson, Kirk; Hartman, Sanford (Law)
Cc: Stavropoulos, Nickolas
Subject: FW: Ktvu.com: Suisun City residents ask PG&E for pipeline records

Team:

Did we really state the last assertion in this article? I thought we stopped citing that last year?

Chris

From: News Flash
Sent: Wednesday, July 06, 2011 2:25 PM
Subject: Ktvu.com: Suisun City residents ask PG&E for pipeline records

Concerns over PG&E transmission pipelines expressed by some Suisun City residents.

Suisun City residents ask PG&E for pipeline records

KTVU.com, July 6, 2011

SUISUN CITY -- A group of neighbors in Suisun City has banded together in hopes of forcing PG&E to open up its records about pipelines in their neighborhood.

Residents said they are worried about a new development plan that could affect two major PG&E transmission pipelines, one 32-inches and the other 16-inches long.

Residents said they have no documentation on whether the pipeline has been inspected and if it's up to safety standards. They said they fear a repeat of the San Bruno explosion.

Anthony Moscarelli of Healthy Community Research of Suisun City said the blast radius of the pipeline would be 575-feet, almost three football fields long. If the pipeline blew up it would take out all the homes 575-feet back.

The group said the pipeline runs along an area of Suisun City where the city has plans to build a new Walmart next to those lines.

The group said they're concerned the new traffic will vibrate and possibly weaken the gas lines.

“The issue is they're going to have traffic coming down with big-rig trucks that weigh 40-tons,” Moscarelli said.

They said they are also concerned over the fact that PG&E came clean last week that it didn't have inspection records for 172-miles of pipeline in the Bay Area.

The group said it fears the pipeline hasn't been inspected or maintained properly.

According to the group, PG&E told them that they cited national security as a reason why they won't release those records.