

From: Dowdell, Jennifer  
Sent: 8/2/2011 2:46:50 PM  
To: 'Cooke, Michelle' (michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov); Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC)  
Cc: Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Pipe Ranger Note on Line 400 Segment Replacement

Michelle,

So here is the full story with regard to those two parts of Line 400 which we call segments T93A and T93B in our spreadsheet. These are both part of the original 152 miles. I do not believe either are associated with any class issues.

They are scheduled late in the hydrotesting line-up because they both cross under two different rivers (I asked the names and should get an email on that shortly). Basically, the issue of the rivers presents significant engineering and environmental challenges to the team.

Hope this helps and again sorry for the delay.

Jennifer

**From:** Cooke, Michelle [mailto:michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 02, 2011 2:20 PM  
**To:** Dowdell, Jennifer; Horner, Trina  
**Subject:** RE: Pipe Ranger Note on Line 400 Segment Replacement

My concern is that the only Line 400 segment shown in the hydrotest list is scheduled for October but the information CEC was seeing shows August 24/25.

**From:** Dowdell, Jennifer [mailto:JKD5@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 02, 2011 2:17 PM  
**To:** Cooke, Michelle; Horner, Trina  
**Subject:** RE: Pipe Ranger Note on Line 400 Segment Replacement

Michelle,

Am still trying to make sure we give you absolutely correct information... but my preliminary indication is that at least 4 miles (I believe the piece near Antioch) is part of the original 152 miles which met certain criteria (DSAW weld, pre 1962, seamed etc) rather than pipe that had to get shifted around due to class-related depressurizations.

So that would mean that we didn't necessarily schedule it late due to class issues. We are still checking on Sherman Island. My expert is out of pocket (meaning I can't reach her) which is the hold up.

Jennifer

**From:** Cooke, Michelle [mailto:michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 02, 2011 12:29 PM  
**To:** Dowdell, Jennifer; Horner, Trina  
**Subject:** FW: Pipe Ranger Note on Line 400 Segment Replacement

Can you confirm my response? Also, what is the status of responding to these DRs they mention?

Thank you,

Michelle

**From:** Cooke, Michelle  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 02, 2011 12:28 PM  
**To:** 'Katie Elder'; Beck, Valerie  
**Cc:** Sylvia Bender (Sbender@energy.state.ca.us); Dennis Beck (dbeck@energy.state.ca.us); 'Rkennedy@energy.state.ca.us'  
**Subject:** RE: Pipe Ranger Note on Line 400 Segment Replacement

Katie- the list of hydrotesting does not include any Line 400 until early October (just a piece near Antioch), as of the filing PG&E made yesterday. I'm guessing that this replacement is related to the class location problem and they are replacing to solve that problem. Will confirm and get back to you.

Michelle

**From:** Katie Elder [mailto:KElder@aspeneg.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 02, 2011 12:21 PM  
**To:** Cooke, Michelle; Beck, Valerie  
**Cc:** Sylvia Bender (Sbender@energy.state.ca.us); Dennis Beck (dbeck@energy.state.ca.us); 'Rkennedy@energy.state.ca.us'  
**Subject:** Pipe Ranger Note on Line 400 Segment Replacement

Michelle,

I noticed this morning that Pipe Ranger is showing an August 24/25 “pipeline replacement” on Line 400. We don’t see that on the latest test/replacement schedule that we have and wonder if you know what is up with that.

And FYI, we’ve asked PG&E a couple of questions pertaining to capacity reductions expected through year-end and precisely which power plants are affected by the Class Location study changes (and whether those plants will just see a pressure reduction that is potentially fixable with a bump-up compressor tied in at the right point). They are putting our questions through their data response process and are very slow getting back to us.

Katie

**Catherine M. Elder**

Senior Associate | Energy & Resource Analysis

Aspen Environmental Group | [www.aspeneg.com](http://www.aspeneg.com)  
8801 Folsom Blvd., Suite 290 | Sacramento, CA 95826  
Office 916.379.0350 x25 |

[kelder@aspeneg.com](mailto:kelder@aspeneg.com)

