

From: Dowdell, Jennifer
Sent: 8/4/2011 2:03:22 PM
To: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC);
'Cooke, Michelle' (michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Pipe Ranger Note on Line 400 Segment Replacement

Michelle,

In continuing to track down the discrepancy on Pipe Ranger, I realize that I misunderstood what was being asked on Tuesday. Consequently, my answer was in error.

I do apologize as this misunderstanding was entirely mine and does not reflect any confusion within PG&E's gas group regarding the work or its schedule. I have confirmed that pipe ranger reflects up-to-date information regarding work on our system.

So as an amended response:

- There is a segment on L 400 scheduled for replacement August 24/25.
- Katie from the CEC is right.
- This segment is being replaced due to MOP concerns dating from July 2011.
- This work is independent of 152 miles of hydrotesting and is effectively part of our efforts to get Redwood Path L-400 back up to normal capacity.

As I indicated in our prior discussions and email, it is also true that we are hydrotesting two different segments of L-400 in October and November. These are the segments I initially believed you were talking about. These segments in Antioch and Sherman Island respectively are late in the schedule because rivers (Sacramento and San Joaquin) that run above these pipes and make the hydrotesting process more complicated.

Again, sorry for the misunderstanding. I hope this clarification is helpful.

Please don't hesitate to call or email me if you need more information.

Jennifer
415-973-2904

From: Cooke, Michelle [mailto:michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, August 02, 2011 2:20 PM
To: Dowdell, Jennifer; Horner, Trina
Subject: RE: Pipe Ranger Note on Line 400 Segment Replacement

My concern is that the only Line 400 segment shown in the hydrotest list is scheduled for October but the information CEC was seeing shows August 24/25.

From: Dowdell, Jennifer [mailto:JKD5@pge.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 02, 2011 2:17 PM
To: Cooke, Michelle; Horner, Trina
Subject: RE: Pipe Ranger Note on Line 400 Segment Replacement

Michelle,

Am still trying to make sure we give you absolutely correct information... but my preliminary indication is that at least 4 miles (I believe the piece near Antioch) is part of the original 152 miles which met certain criteria (DSAW weld, pre 1962, seamed etc) rather than pipe that had to get shifted around due to class-related depressurizations.

So that would mean that we didn't necessarily schedule it late due to class issues. We are still checking on Sherman Island. My expert is out of pocket (meaning I can't reach her) which is the hold up.

Jennifer

From: Cooke, Michelle [mailto:michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, August 02, 2011 12:29 PM
To: Dowdell, Jennifer; Horner, Trina
Subject: FW: Pipe Ranger Note on Line 400 Segment Replacement

Can you confirm my response? Also, what is the status of responding to these DRs they mention?

Thank you,

Michelle

From: Cooke, Michelle
Sent: Tuesday, August 02, 2011 12:28 PM
To: 'Katie Elder'; Beck, Valerie
Cc: Sylvia Bender (Sbender@energy.state.ca.us); Dennis Beck (dbeck@energy.state.ca.us); 'Rkennedy@energy.state.ca.us'
Subject: RE: Pipe Ranger Note on Line 400 Segment Replacement

Katie- the list of hydrotesting does not include any Line 400 until early October (just a piece near Antioch), as of the filing PG&E made yesterday. I'm guessing that this replacement is related to the class location problem and they are replacing to solve that problem. Will confirm and get back to you.

Michelle

From: Katie Elder [mailto:KElder@aspeneg.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 02, 2011 12:21 PM
To: Cooke, Michelle; Beck, Valerie
Cc: Sylvia Bender (Sbender@energy.state.ca.us); Dennis Beck (dbeck@energy.state.ca.us); 'Rkennedy@energy.state.ca.us'
Subject: Pipe Ranger Note on Line 400 Segment Replacement

Michelle,

I noticed this morning that Pipe Ranger is showing an August 24/25 "pipeline replacement" on Line 400. We don't see that on the latest test/replacement schedule that we have and wonder if you know what is up with that.

And FYI, we've asked PG&E a couple of questions pertaining to capacity reductions expected through year-end and precisely which power plants are affected by the Class Location study changes (and whether those plants will just see a pressure reduction that is potentially fixable with a bump-up compressor tied in at the right point). They are putting our questions through their data response process and are very slow getting back to us.

Katie

Catherine M. Elder

Senior Associate | Energy & Resource Analysis

Aspen Environmental Group | www.aspeneg.com
8801 Folsom Blvd., Suite 290 | Sacramento, CA 95826
Office 916.379.0350 x25 |

kelder@aspeneg.com