

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
San Bruno GT Line Rupture Investigation  
Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	CPUC_140-07		
PG&E File Name:	SanBrunoGT-LineRuptureInvestigation_DR_CPUC_140-Q07		
Request Date:	July 17, 2011	Requester DR No.:	
Date Sent:	August 24, 2011	Requesting Party:	CPUC
		Requester:	Michelle Cooke

**QUESTION 7**

What makes facilities such as Gateway (PG&E owned & operated 590 MW), Crockett, Los Esteros and other facilities not impacted by the potential gas line pressure reduction?

1. What contract types, MW, and duration are the potentially impacted facilities?
2. What is the order of reduced operations for power plants located along impacted lines (e.g. Try and keep Contra Costa at full availability by reducing Pittsburg first, versus limiting inefficient operation points on both power plants).

**ANSWER 7**

Response to Item 1: All noncore customers, including all electric generators and other noncore facilities such as refineries, large industrial customers, and all the facilities listed above, within a particular pipeline system zone are potentially impacted by the curtailments. PG&E is committed to work with all noncore customers as well as control area operators such as the CAISO, to achieve the necessary load reduction with minimal impact to the plants or essential services provided by the plants. For example, plants may choose to use gas and pay the noncompliance charges and PG&E would only require plants to shut down if their continued operation threatened the ability of the gas system to maintain adequate pressures.

Response to Item 2: PG&E does not determine the order in which the plants will be dispatched. The CAISO as the system operator will dispatch the plants in way that best assures system reliability under these conditions.