

Docket: : R.10-05-006
Exhibit Number : DRA - 413
Commissioner : Michael R. Peevey
Admin. Law Judge : Peter V. Allen
Witnesses: Sudheer Gokhale
Jordan Parrillo
David Peck
Nika Rogers
Peter Spencer



**DIVISION OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

Errata to
**Testimony on the 2010 Long-Term Procurement Planning
Track I System Plan of San Diego Gas and Electric
Company (SDG&E)
and Track III Procurement Rules**

(PUBLIC VERSION)

R.10-05-006

San Francisco, California
August 5, 2011

1 **1. Assumptions regarding Demand Response**

2 IOU-1 discusses the Joint Utilities’ assumptions regarding forecasted
3 megawatts of demand response.¹ Table 2 below shows the IOUs’ forecast of
4 Demand Response resources available in 2020 under 1-in-2 weather conditions.²
5 Both PG&E and SDG&E state that these forecasts were developed using the 2011
6 Load Impact reports, which were filed in R.07-01-041 on April 1, 2004~~2011~~.³

7 **Table 1: Demand Response Forecast in System Plan (in 2020)**

Total DR (MW)	IOU Common Scenarios	CPUC-required	Increase (Decrease) in DR Program Results
SCE Service Area	2,842	2,842	0
PG&E Service Area	1,429	2,001	(572)
SDG&E Service Area	219	302	(83)

8 Notes: The table above is nearly identical to Table 5-2 in IOU-1. Also, Total Demand
9 Response in the table above is grossed-up for losses.

10
11 The use of 2011 Load Impact reports is contrary to the Commission’s
12 directive in the December 10, 2010 Assigned Commissioner and Administrative
13 Law Judge’s Joint Scoping Memo and Ruling, at page 20, which states, “the
14 estimated ex-ante load impact forecast filed in this proceeding shall be based on
15 the April 1, 2010 Load Impact Report Compliance Filing pursuant to Ordering
16 Paragraph (OP) 4, D.08-04-050. The utilities should report DR portfolio load
17 impact forecast (2011-2020) for the 2010 LTPP using the August Monthly System
18 Peak Load Day under a 1-in-2 Weather Condition.”

19 As Table 2 indicates, the use of the demand response forecast in the 2011
20 Load Impact report results in substantially lower Demand Response resources
21 compared to the demand response forecast in the CPUC-required scenarios which
22 uses the 2010 Load Impact report.

¹ Ex. IOU-1 (Joint IOU Supporting Testimony), 5-6 – 5-8.

² Ex. IOU-1 (Joint IOU Supporting Testimony), p. 5-7, Table 5-2.

³ *Id.*, p.5-8.