From:	Kalafut, Jennifer	
Sent:	8/10/2011 3:21:45 PM	
To:	Redacted	
~	Redacted	Allen,
Cc:	Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe) Rec	
	(Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=Redacted	
	(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=Redacted	
	(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=Redacted	
	(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=Redacte Redacte	
Dage	(/O-1 G&E/OO-Corporate/en-Recipients/en-	
Bcc:	DE E 11	
Subject	:: RE: Follow-up	
The second second	Torr.	
Thank yo	ou, Tom.	
Jen		
From: Re	edacted	
	onday, August 08, 2011 9:55 AM	
To: Reda	ected Kalafut, Jennifer	
Redacted	cted Allen, Meredith; Redacted);
Subject	RE: follow-up	
Jen,		
Merriam's	I was on vacation for part of last week, I didn't have the opportunity to send s declaration which attests to the confidentiality of certain data included in o	
recent da	ata request. My apologies for the delay in sending this document to you.	
Please le	et me know if you have any questions. Thank you.	
Regards. Redacted		
From: Re	edacted	
Sent: Tu	uesday, July 26, 2011 5:28 PM	
To: 'Kala	fut Jonnifor!	
Cc: Reda Redacted	Allen, Meredith; Redacted	
1	RE: follow-up	
-	•	

Confidential Protected Material Enclosed					
Pursuant to General Order 66-C, Pub. Util. Code § 583 and D.06-06-066					
Not for Public Disclosure					
Hi Jennifer,					
Please find our responses to your questions below. Please note that the file sent to you on Friday, July 22 had an error in it. The row with Count number 8 [the second Sierra Pacific Ind. (Burney)] was a typo. We have deleted that row and replaced it with Covanta Mendota (which is Count number 3 in the attached file). We also added Column F "Historical All-in Price (\$/MWh) and Column G "Requested All-in Energy and Capacity Price" per your request. Pursuant to your conversation earlier today with David Poster, I will send you our confidentiality declaration and matrix (as required under D.08-04-023 and D.06-06-066) tomorrow under separate cover.					
In addition, I am enclosing our response to your various clarifying questions below. After reviewing the attached, please let me know if you have any questions.					
Thanks,					
Tom					
Q. I apologize for the multiple emails on this. However, I need to request an additional piece of information. That is, could you please provide the name of the independent evaluator for each of these contract negotiations as well.					
Lewis Hashimoto from Arroyo Seco Consulting is the Independent Evaluator.					

dacted	
acted	
2. If the facilities are not currently being paid on the IEP fixed-price settlement (i.e. if	
Column U lists "No") is it connect to assume that they are getting noid CDAC for energy	
Column H lists "No"), is it correct to assume that they are getting paid SRAC for energy	
deliveries? If not, what are their energy payments? What is the current SRAC?	
denveries: If not, what are then energy payments: what is the current SKAC:	
denveries: If not, what are then energy payments: what is the current SKAC:	
denveries: If not, what are then energy payments: what is the current SKAC:	

ca.gov] Allen, Meredith; Redacted
Allen, Meredith; Redacted
eed to request an additional piece of informa pendent evaluator for each of these contract

To: Redacted Cc:	Allen, Meredith; (Redacted
Redacted	
Subject: RE: follow-up	
Hi Tom,	
Thank you for sending this. I have a few questions on the	e attached:
The attached shows that currently there are 11 facilitie Settlement. I had thought that there were 17 biomass fac Settlement. Were DG Fairhaven and Mt. Lassen originally.	ilities - around 340 MW - originally on the IEP
2. If the facilities are not currently being paid on the IEP f "No"), is it correct to assume that they are getting paid St their energy payments? What is the current SRAC?	
3. Can you please send the MWh operating capacity of the three years or another estimate along those lines would be	
Please reply with this information by the end of the day. I presuming that you have most of this information on hand me a call to discuss.	
Best,	
Jen	

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 11:36 AM

From: Redacted

Sent: Friday, July 22, 2011 5:36 PM

To: Kalafut, Jennifer

Cc Redacted

Redacted

Redacted

Subject: RE: follow-up

Confidential Protected Material Enclosed

Pursuant to General Order 66-C, Pub. Util. Code § 583 and D.06-06-066

Not for Public Disclosure

Jennifer:

Please find attached our response to the information you requested earlier today from Hugh Merriam, which contains commercially sensitive information that should be treated as confidential under D.06-06-066 and Section 583 of the Public Utilities Code. In addition, the information contained in the attached excel spreadsheet is proprietary customer information provided to PG&E in confidence and would be against the public interest if inadvertently or intentionally disclosed.

Please let me know if you have any questions. Thanks!

Regards, Redacted

From: Redacted

Sent: Friday, July 22, 2011 8:12 AM

To: 'Kalafut, Jennifer'
Cc: Redacted
Subject: RE: follow-up

I sent it to Redacted yesterday afternoon. Let me follow up with him.

From: Kalafut, Jennifer [mailto:jennifer.kalafut@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2011 12:56 PM

To: Redacted
Subject: follow-up

Thanks again, Hugh, for all your help on the biomass issue. If you can get me information this afternoon yet, that would be most helpful.

Jen	Best,		
	Jen		
	Jennifer Kalafut		

California Public Utilities Commission

Tel: 415.703.1475

Energy Division