From: Cherry, Brian K

Sent: 9/2/2011 1:57:19 PM

To: 'Cooke, Michelle' (michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov)

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: RE: Validation of RA Capacity for March, April, and July 2010

I know. Email can be a bit abrupt. I didn't mean to imply that you were the problem Michelle. You've always been easy to work with. And I don't mean to exclude Mr. Shapson. It's just that he is being so anal on this - to the point of complaining to our internal counsel - that I see him more as an obstacle than anything else. I'm happy to include everybody in the tent but this idea that he is the central point of contact is not productive. I keep our counsel informed and involved as I suspect you do. I want to resolve this amicably if it is in everyone's best interest. I'm not interested in posturing and litigating to get to a settlement.

From: Cooke, Michelle [mailto:michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Friday, September 02, 2011 1:51 PM

To: Cherry, Brian K

Subject: Re: Validation of RA Capacity for March, April, and July 2010

Hey, it isn't coming from me, I told Mitchell that you'd asked if we were open to starting settlement discussions and I told you we'd get back to you and that no substance was discussed and I didn't think it was an issue.

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com] **Sent**: Friday, September 02, 2011 01:44 PM

To: Cooke, Michelle

Subject: FW: Validation of RA Capacity for March, April, and July 2010

Michelle - FYI. This isn't productive....

From: Shapson, Mitchell [mailto:mitchell.shapson@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Friday, September 02, 2011 1:33 PM **To:** Cherry, Brian K; Huffman, Mark (Law)

Cc: Halligan, Julie; Lindh, Frank; Lo, Jeanette; Shapson, Mitchell **Subject:** RE: Validation of RA Capacity for March, April, and July 2010

Mark and Brian:

As you know, I tried to arrange a phone conference today with both of you, but schedules did not allow for that. As you can see from the below emails, Frank had asked

Brian to include me on any communications with my client, CPSD, and Brian agreed to that. I told Mark of that request when he first got involved in this case.

Early on in the case, Mark and I had a brief conversation about how to start settlement discussions. I also asked Mark to make sure that any settlement discussions include me as CPSD's attorney, to which he also agreed.

It has come to my attention that Brian attempted to contact Michelle Cooke [as interim director of CPSD] to discuss a potential settlement of the proceeding without including me or anyone else from Legal Division on that communication.

Please make sure that I am a part of any discussion with my client.

Mitchell

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com] **Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2011 10:28 AM

To: Lindh, Frank

Cc: Halligan, Julie; Shapson, Mitchell; Halligan, Julie; Middlekauff, Charles (Law)

Subject: RE: Validation of RA Capacity for March, April, and July 2010

That's fine. I'm happy to include Mitchell.

From: Lindh, Frank [mailto:frank.lindh@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2011 10:26 AM

To: Cherry, Brian K

Cc: Halligan, Julie; Shapson, Mitchell; Halligan, Julie; Middlekauff, Charles (Law)

Subject: RE: Validation of RA Capacity for March, April, and July 2010

Brian -

Please copy CPSD's legal counsel, Mitchell Shapson, on any future correspondence related to this OII. Please also be sure to include Mitchell in any meetings that might

be scheduled. (I am copying Mitchell on this e-mail, so you and others at PG&E will have his e-mail address handy.)

I see no harm in an open dialogue between the utility and Commission staff on an item of this nature, but because it is a formal proceeding it is important that the assigned Legal Division attorney be kept in the loop on all discussions.

Thanks.

Frank

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]

Sent: Monday, June 27, 2011 4:24 PM **To:** Halligan, Julie; Lindh, Frank

Subject: FW: Validation of RA Capacity for March, April, and July 2010

Julie/Frank - FYI. Letter we received from the ISO re RA issues in the OII.

Julie - I didn't want to surprise you with it before we met.

From: Wan, Fong

Sent: Monday, June 27, 2011 1:18 PM

To: Bottorff, Thomas E; Conway, John; Cherry, Brian K; Kuga, Roy M; Horner, Trina; Allen, Meredith; Everidge, Kelly A.; Manheim, William (Law); Middlekauff, Charles (Law); Andrews, Veronica (Merchant)

Subject: Fwd: Validation of RA Capacity for March, April, and July 2010

FYI

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Burns, Beth Ann" < BBurns@caiso.com>

To: "Hapner, Dede" < <u>DxH4@pge.com</u>>

Cc: "Wan, Fong" < fxw1@pge.com>, "Berberich, Steve"

<<u>SBerberich@caiso.com</u>>

Subject: Validation of RA Capacity for March, April, and July 2010

Dear Ms. Hapner:

Attached please find the ISO's validation of resource adequacy capacity for the months of March, April and July 2010. I am also sending you a hard copy of the attachment via US Mail.

Please do not hesitate to contact me at (916) 608-7146 or bburns@caiso.com if you have any questions.

Regards,
Beth Ann Burns
Senior Counsel
CALIFORNIA ISO
250 Outcropping Way
Folsom CA, 95630
T - 916-608-7146
F - 916-608-7222
bburns@caiso.com<mailto:apascuzzo@caiso.com>
[CAISOLogo]

The foregoing e-mail communication (together with any attachments) is intended for the designated recipient(s) only. If you feel you received the communication in error please note: it may be confidential, therefore unauthorized use, dissemination, or reproduction of the message is prohibited. Please advise the sender and delete this message from your system. Nothing in this communication is intended to operate as an electronic signature under applicable law unless expressly specified as such.

The foregoing electronic message, together with any attachments thereto, is confidential and may be legally privileged against disclosure other than to the intended recipient. It is intended solely for the addressee(s) and access to the message by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient of this electronic message, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or any action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on it is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this electronic message in error, please delete and immediately notify the sender of this error.
