From: Colvin, Michael
Sent: 9/20/2011 6:25:15 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE:

And, I also point out to you that PG&E is in a different situation then perhaps some of the other utilities because of what we treat these unexpected costs in-between rate cases. So to the extent that you are not aligned with your southern CA brethren, please be EXPLICIT in your filings. AKA - help us help you.

- M

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2011 6:23 PM
To: Colvin, Michael
Subject: Re:

Picky picky. Good points though.

From: Colvin, Michael [mailto:michael.colvin@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2011 06:22 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K; Prusnek, Brian C <BPrusnek@semprautilities.com>
Subject: RE:

Well, it's an important point that you can speak to in your briefs, no? The questions that we ask in the Scoping Memo are meant to DEVELOP a record and ensure that ratepayer costs are being treated in an appropriate fashion. It's up to you all to make your case, and in my mind nothing is pre-determined. That's why we have applications and deliberative process. And by the way, the letter is more in direct reference to the cost of allowances and not the fees per se, but that's a different story.

- Michael

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2011 6:07 PM
To: Colvin, Michael; Redacted
Subject: FW:

Here is the complete file……

From: Redacted
Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2011 6:01 PM
To: Redacted; Cherry, Brian K
Subject: