

From: Thomas, Sarah R.  
Sent: 9/19/2011 6:08:00 PM  
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7);  
Manheim, William (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=WVM3);  
Banks, Juliane (juliane.banks@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: PG&E gas safety implementation plan - ex parte

Oh dear. Sorry... again. ~sigh~

Sarah R. Thomas, Esq.  
Advisor to Commissioner Mark Ferron  
(Legal/Energy/Communications)  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue, # 5033  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
[srt@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:srt@cpuc.ca.gov)  
[www.cpuc.ca.gov](http://www.cpuc.ca.gov)  
(415) 703-2310 (phone)  
(415) 703-2262 (fax)

**From:** Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 19, 2011 6:07 PM  
**To:** Thomas, Sarah R.; Manheim, William (Law); Banks, Juliane  
**Subject:** Re: PG&E gas safety implementation plan - ex parte

Sara - did you mean Brian Prusnek ?

**From:** Thomas, Sarah R. [mailto:sarah.thomas@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 19, 2011 06:06 PM  
**To:** Cherry, Brian K; Manheim, William (Law); Banks, Juliane <juliane.banks@cpuc.ca.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: PG&E gas safety implementation plan - ex parte

okay, Brian, I have determined that this is an equal time meeting. Please work with Juliane on scheduling. We have some time, so please bear with us on timing.

Sarah R. Thomas, Esq.  
Advisor to Commissioner Mark Ferron  
(Legal/Energy/Communications)  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue, # 5033  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
[srt@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:srt@cpuc.ca.gov)  
[www.cpuc.ca.gov](http://www.cpuc.ca.gov)  
(415) 703-2310 (phone)

(415) 703-2262 (fax)

**From:** Thomas, Sarah R.  
**Sent:** Friday, September 16, 2011 12:10 PM  
**To:** 'bkc7@pge.com'; 'wvm3@pge.com'  
**Cc:** Banks, Juliane  
**Subject:** PG&E gas safety implementation plan - ex parte

Sempra has asked for a meeting with Commr Ferron pursuant to the PUC 1701.3 equal time rule. I had assumed your meeting prior to filing the plan was not an equal time meeting, and your ex parte notice - filed after the meeting with Commr Ferron (and similar notices filed after meetings with other Commrs) assumed the same. Can you explain your rationale for it not triggering the equal time rule?

Sarah R. Thomas, Esq.  
Advisor to Commissioner Mark Ferron  
(Legal/Energy/Communications)  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue, # 5033  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
[srt@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:srt@cpuc.ca.gov)  
[www.cpuc.ca.gov](http://www.cpuc.ca.gov)  
(415) 703-2310 (phone)  
(415) 703-2262 (fax)