

From: Cooke, Michelle
Sent: 9/7/2011 3:47:14 PM
To: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC);
Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: Spike test

Shilpa and Trina- here is the documentation that I have of the pressure spike requirement.

-----Original Message-----

From: Shori, Sunil
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2011 6:25 PM
To: Halligan, Julie
Cc: Cooke, Michelle; Stepanian, Raffy; Robertson, Michael; Cauquiran, Aimee; Carter, George E.; Penney, Paul A.; Eng, Terence
Subject: RE: Spike test

This afternoon, Aimee and I met with PG&E. Based on our discussion, and input from John Kiefner, PG&E agreed to perform a spike test of at least 10% above the test pressure and hold it at that pressure for 30 minutes followed by the pipeline being held at a pressure of at least 1.5 MAOP for at least 7.5 hours.

Contrary to what they stated during yesterday's teleconference, however, PG&E now wants to reconsider their use of the hydro-test to not just meet MAOP establishment, but also meet integrity management (IM) requirements. I made clear to them, and they agreed, that they will have to modify their test procedure/protocol for hydro-testing in order to meet additional requirements for hydro-testing specific to IM. They indicated they will consult further with their IM group and let us know what they decide. As for the test on Monday, PG&E is pursuing it strictly as an MAOP validation and will conduct the spike test discussed above.

-----Original Message-----

From: Halligan, Julie
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2011 5:48 PM
To: Shori, Sunil
Subject: Spike test

How did your discussion with pge go?