

From: Cooke, Michelle  
Sent: 9/23/2011 8:45:40 AM  
To: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: FW: Return to Pressure for Line 101

Feedback from the judge. Let me know if you want to discuss.

-----Original Message-----

From: Bushey, Maribeth A.  
Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 8:43 AM  
To: Cooke, Michelle  
Subject: RE: Return to Pressure for Line 101

I had hoped that the September 8 decision was clear that this 24-day process was a "one off" to get the urgently required lines back to pressure, and that in the future such requests would need to be better planned. That was the whole idea behind the comprehensive time line filing so that we could adopt appropriate procedures - maybe even including delegation to the Ex Dir - for each expected filing. I do NOT want to do a series of emergency hearings, and I'm sure your staff feels that same way. They need time to carefully consider the voluminous information.

A motion is the right approach, it could even request that the Ex Dir act on it, if, for example, CPSD concurred in the test results and in the request. A motion with a request to shorten time to respond might hasten that process, too.

I'm at home this morning [Redacted] and at a waterpolo game this afternoon. [Redacted] if you would like to discuss.

-----Original Message-----

From: Cooke, Michelle  
Sent: Thu 9/22/2011 8:14 PM  
To: Bushey, Maribeth A.  
Subject: Return to Pressure for Line 101

Judge Bushey- PG&E has informed me that the next in their queue for lines they want to raise pressure on is Line 101. Since the decision 9/8 only specifically addressed the procedure/schedule for Topock and not other lines (especially on the schedule side), and the PD just mailed today on that request, and PG&E thinks they want to file the request to

increase pressure next week (this may not be feasible given review time needed and Sunil's role in the workshops next week), but they aren't really sure how to frame the request since there is no specific compliance order they are filing under. They are considering filing a motion asking you to adopt the same procedure and schedule a hearing for the next line assuming a specified filing date so that the hearing can get the full 10 day notice necessary. I said I'd inquire if you had any thoughts on process to get the next one queued up. Any input you can provide would be good.  
Thanks.

Michelle Cooke, Interim Director  
Consumer Protection and Safety Division  
California Public Utilities Commission  
mlc@cpuc.ca.gov  
415 703 2349