

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 9/2/2011 11:30:49 AM
To: 'Marks, Jaclyn' (jaclyn.marks@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: [Redacted] Allen,
Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe); [Redacted]
[Redacted]); Douglas, Paul
(paul.douglas@cpuc.ca.gov); [Redacted]
[Redacted]

Bcc:

Subject: RE: PG&E PV PPA Program Forum - 9/22

Jaclyn,

Please see the attached draft outline for the PV PPA Program Forum, scheduled for September 22 from 9am-12pm. Regarding the language below in red, our read of the resolution is that that section was with respect to the compliance report, not the program forum, so we have not included it in the attached draft. We'd be happy to include it if you'd like and welcome any ideas/feedback on that point and any other in the attached. I will be out this afternoon, but let us know if you'd like to have a brief call sometime next week to review.

Have a good weekend.

Thanks,

Suncheth

From: Marks, Jaclyn [mailto:jaclyn.marks@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Monday, August 29, 2011 5:30 PM

To: [Redacted]

Cc: [Redacted] Allen, Meredith; Douglas, Paul [Redacted]

Subject: RE: PG&E PV PPA Program Forum - 9/22

Thanks [Redacted] Obviously we do not expect you to share confidential information during the program forum. That language was taken directly from the resolution, so once you finish reviewing the resolution, let's discuss how to incorporate that language from the resolution into the agenda.

From: [Redacted]

Sent: Monday, August 29, 2011 4:58 PM

To: Marks, Jaclyn

Cc: [Redacted] Allen, Meredith; Douglas, Paul [Redacted]

Subject: RE: PG&E PV PPA Program Forum - 9/22

Jaclyn,

As Karen is out of the office this week, I wanted to get back to you in her absence. Thanks for providing the topics you'd like to be covered at the program forum. We have reviewed the resolution and are using that as the starting point for the agenda. We are also reaching out the IE to solicit his feedback and items as well as reviewing the results of the survey we sent out. We plan to send you a draft agenda by this Friday. Regarding the item in red below, given this information was submitted confidentially in the recent supplement of the compliance report, what did you have in mind about discussing this?

Thanks,

Redacted

From: Marks, Jaclyn [mailto:jaclyn.marks@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Monday, August 29, 2011 9:21 AM
To: Redacted
Cc: Redacted; Redacted; Allen, Meredith; Douglas, Paul
Subject: RE: PG&E PV PPA Program Forum - 9/22

Karen,

Have you prepared an agenda for the program forum? If so, can you please send it to me? Also, have you reviewed Resolution E-4368? It indicates specific topics to be addressed at the program forum, which I've included below. Please let me know if you have any questions or would like to discuss the items below.

Jaclyn

Here are topics we'd like addressed at the Program Forum:

- Solicitation Results

- Report out on market response and utility response to the bids (use annual report as a guide)
 - Did the bidding protocol, terms and conditions, and the shortlisting process produce the desired procurement and policy outcome?
- **A comparison of bidders and bids received during the most recent PPA Program solicitation, RPS program, and any other Commission program available to projects bidding into the PPA Program to assess whether PPA projects are being bid into multiple programs;**
 - LCR as tie breaker
 - Preferred Locations
 - Developer feedback on PV Map
 - Project Viability Screens
- **Be primarily ground-mounted systems in the one to 20 MW range;**
 - **Be located within PG&E's service territory;**
 - **Demonstrate site control;**
 - **Have a complete interconnection application filed with PG&E within two weeks following a shortlist notification;**
 - **Have a pre time-of-delivery adjusted contract price no greater than \$246/MWh;**
 - **May not participate in the California Solar Initiative or net energy metering programs; and**
 - **Must be scheduled to begin initial operation within 18 months following Commission approval of the PPA.**
 - Other criteria needed to ensure project viability?
 - Interconnection-related Issues
 - Developer feedback on PG&E's processing of interconnection requests
 - Standard PPA
 - Modifications and improvements of Standard PPA up to 2 MW
 - Feedback on proposed terms and conditions for Standard PPA greater than 2 MW
 - Resource Adequacy requirement

Redacted

Sent: Tuesday, August 02, 2011 5:22 PM
To: Marks, Jaclyn
Cc: Redacted Allen, Meredith
Subject: PG&E PV PPA Program Forum - 9/22

Hi Jaclyn,

PG&E will be holding the 2011 PV PPA Program Forum on September 22, 2011 at PG&E headquarters. As mentioned below, we will discuss the results of the survey sent out today, what we have learned throughout the Solicitation process, and possible changes for 2012. Please let us know if you have any additional topics for the Program Forum.

Thank you,

Karen

From: PV Program

Sent: Tuesday, August 02, 2011 4:53 PM

To: Redacted

Subject: 2011 PV PPA RFO Feedback Survey

Dear Participant,

Thank you for your participation in PG&E's 2011 PV PPA RFO. To gain feedback regarding the solicitation, we are asking you to complete this survey and return it to PVProgram@pge.com by August 15, 2011. Your feedback is important to PG&E as your responses can shape the design of future solicitations. Your response to the survey will be held confidential.

PG&E would also like to take this opportunity to inform you that the 2011 PV PPA Program Forum is scheduled for September 22, 2011 in San Francisco at PG&E's headquarters. The Forum is open to all participants that submitted an offer into the 2011 PV PPA RFO. At this

Forum, PG&E will discuss the results of the survey, lessons learned, and possible modifications to the RFO for the 2012 solicitation.

If you plan to attend the Forum in person or via the web, please complete the PV PPA Forum registration form and return it to PVProgram@pge.com no later than September 19, 2011.

Sincerely,

Redacted

PV PPA RFO Program Administrator