

From: Cooke, Michelle
Sent: 9/14/2011 5:39:11 PM
To: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC);
Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Documentation from Monday's filing

Okay, that is odd. She said that she had not received anything from PG&E just minutes ago. There is a difference between FILING and SERVING. Filings go to Docket, service goes to the service list (i.e., directly to the recipient). Paper filings take some time to wend their way from Docket to the Judge, by your note it seems that PG&E did not separately serve a copy on the judge? If no copy was served on the Judge, then yes, she needs at least a CD copy. If a copy was served, it seems to have not made it to her.

Thank you.

Michelle

From: Ramaiya, Shilpa R [mailto:SRRd@pge.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2011 5:35 PM
To: Cooke, Michelle; Horner, Trina
Subject: RE: Documentation from Monday's filing

Michelle,

I spoke with Chuck Lewis (our attorney). He said that a paper redacted copy and 5 CDs with the same information was filed with the CPUC on Monday. The ALJ had informed Chuck that she did not want the confidential version last week. Chuck said he spoke to her an hour ago and she didn't mention this request.

Does ALJ Bushey want another paper copy (confidential or public) or a CD? The public information is also accessible directly from the PG&E website. We're happy to provide whatever she wants.

Shilpa

From: Cooke, Michelle [mailto:michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2011 5:21 PM
To: Horner, Trina; Ramaiya, Shilpa R
Subject: Documentation from Monday's filing
Importance: High

Judge Bushey does not have any copies of the documentation. Was it sent to her?

Michelle Cooke, Interim Director

Consumer Protection and Safety Division

California Public Utilities Commission

mlc@cpuc.ca.gov

415 703 2349