

From: Thomas, Sarah R.
Sent: 9/16/2011 3:58:35 PM
To: Dowdell, Jennifer (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JKD5)
Cc: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7);
Manheim, William (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=WVM3)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: R.11-02-019 - Receipt for 3-Day Notice and 3-Day Notice Grant, and Ex parte
Communication

yes, but the 3 day notice is required to be filed 3 days *ahead* of the meeting. This looks to have been filed 3 days after the meeting, so I assumed you were treating it as a normal ex parte notice without equal time. Am I misinterpreting?

Sarah R. Thomas, Esq.
Advisor to Commissioner Mark Ferron
(Legal/Energy/Communications)
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue, # 5033
San Francisco, CA 94102
srt@cpuc.ca.gov
www.cpuc.ca.gov
(415) 703-2310 (phone)
(415) 703-2262 (fax)

From: Dowdell, Jennifer [mailto:JKD5@pge.com]
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2011 2:59 PM
To: Thomas, Sarah R.
Cc: Cherry, Brian K; Manheim, William (Law)
Subject: FW: R.11-02-019 - Receipt for 3-Day Notice and 3-Day Notice Grant, and Ex parte
Communication

Sarah,

To follow up on your email to Brian Cherry and Bill Manheim, attached above is the confirmation receipt for the grant of 3-day notice along with the 3-day notice service for our meeting with Commissioner Ferron on August 25.

Our 3-day notice notes the CPUC Rules and Practice Section 8 requirement for individual meetings of equal time for other parties.

Additionally, below in the email forwarded from our assistant Redacted is the

documentation of the three-day notice and notice of ex parte communication.

We believe the documentation is in order, but please give me a call if you have questions or concerns.

Best regards,

Jennifer
415-973-2904
415-516-8347

From: Redacted
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2011 2:31 PM
To: Dowdell, Jennifer
Subject: R.11-02-019 - Receipt for 3-Day Notice and 3-Day Notice Grant, and Ex parte Communication