

From: Cherry, Brian K
Sent: 9/2/2011 3:16:16 PM
To: jf2@cpuc.ca.gov (jf2@cpuc.ca.gov); pac@cpuc.ca.gov (pac@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Resolution Dismissing Protest of AL3842

Julie and Paul - As you may be aware, approval of AL3842 (which is the Carrizo Midway 230KV reconductoring project) is being held up because of a protest filed by Marvin Dean. PG&E was never served with the protest by Mr. Dean. It is my understanding that Energy Division cannot find a copy of the protest that was served on them. We have reached out to Mr Dean several times for a copy of his protest, but he has never provided us with one. However, he did respond to Meredith Allen today about his protest. You can see from the email below that he has identified two issues with the project, EMF and GO156, that are not valid grounds for protesting the NOC advice letter.

I would like to request that the Commission issue an Executive Resolution dismissing the protest and allow us to move forward with the reconductoring so that we can complete the SunPower and First Solar projects on time. Thank you.

Ps - I would forward under separate email our response to the protest.

From: Allen, Meredith
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2011 2:26 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K; Dietz, Sidney
Subject: Fw: Copy of Protest to Advice Letter 3842-E

Brian, Sid,

I just received this response. I spoke with David and it doesn't change our position in the response to protest. Mr. Dean still hasn't provided a copy of the protest and now we can also add orally in our conversations at CPUC that the two issues that he has identified EMF and GO156 are not valid grounds for protesting the NOC advice letter.

Thanks,
Meredith

From: marvin dean [mailto:Redacted]
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2011 01:49 PM
To: Allen, Meredith
Subject: Re: Copy of Protest to Advice Letter 3842-E

Allen Meredith

Sorry for my delay getting back with you sooner, email is intended to reply to your request of KMCA comment to CPUC concern PG&E / Protest Letter; I have work in the power line industry for over 25+ year starting out as groundman raising to the level of joureyman lineman working for PG&E and IBEW 1245 & IBEW 428 & 47 than starting my own power line construction company. Back in 1988 we form Kern Minority Contractors Association primary members include MBE/WBE/SBE/DVBE firms our association in the early 80's work with then Assemblywoman Gwen Moore & then Speaker Willie Brown to help get CPUC to approve G.O 156 that require PG&E and other large energy company to contract % of contracting business & services with MBE/WBE/SBE local firms where tell do their projects.

KMCA believe PG&E is not meeting the intent of G.O 156 with local MBE/WBE/SBE firms in Kern County and throughout the San Joaquin Valley. So any time we have an opportunities to provide public comment to the CPUC concerning PG&E projects or propose rate increase we will continue till PG&E do better in Bakersfield / Kern County and throughout San Joaquin Valley in meeting the intent of G.O. 156 provide opportunity to do business for some of their projects. Kern Minority Contractors Association and I stand ready to help in this area and would like to discuss with about how we can assist with provide SBE/MBE/WBE outreach assistance Bakersfield / Kern County and San Joaquin Valley.

Now concerning the propose project outline in advise letter 3842-E / we believe this project high voltage maybe cause long term health effort to resident living in community near by these projects. Some of those residents family are members of KMCA. In exchange to support these project some mitigation should include opportunities for jobs and contracting opportunity on this projects or other work projects plan to be contract out or procurement services the PG&E conduct.

We believe if resident are going to have to live and work around these high voltage line that may cause long term health effort their at least would to be some king econmic benifit to them or their family member, where by PG&E provide opportunitie work jobs and or contracting opportunities.

I hope this help explain my and other concern in filing project protest comment doing public review process

You or any other PG&E representitive have additional about point raise in this email please me @ cell Redacted or reply to this email.

Sincerely, Marvin Dean

From: "Allen, Meredith" <ME Ae@pge.com>
To: "Allen, Meredith" <ME Ae@pge.com>; "Redacted"
<Redacted>
Sent: Mon, August 29, 2011 11:55:09 AM
Subject: RE: Copy of Protest to Advice Letter 3842-E

From: Allen, Meredith
Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2011 1:43 PM
To: Redacted
Subject: Copy of Protest to Advice Letter 3842-E

Mr. Dean,

As we discussed, would you please send me a copy of the protest that the Kern Minority Contractors Group submitted to the California Public Utilities Commission regarding PG&E Advice Letter 3842-E. The subject of the advice letter is Notice of Construction, Pursuant to General Order 131-D, for the Construction of Carrizo-Midway 230 KV Reconductoring Project (Kern County and San Luis Obispo County); Caliente Switching Station Project (San Luis Obispo County); and Solar Switching Station Project (San Luis Obispo County).

We have not been able to track down a copy of the protest. I believe it would have been submitted around May 23, 2011.

Please email to me at meae@pge.com or fax to 415-973-7226. You could also send to Meredith Allen at Mail Box B10C, PO Box 770000, San Francisco, CA 94177, if that works better for you.

If you have any questions or would like to discuss, please contact me at 415-828-5765.

I very much appreciate your attention to this matter.

Thank you,

Meredith Allen

PG&E Regulatory Relations