

From: Cooke, Michelle  
Sent: 9/8/2011 2:03:57 PM  
To: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Audit data request

Well, shouldn't everything in #2 be a public record (at least the court filings would be)? I have no problem with it starting under 583 and we see what we get. I also told Brian today that if we need to start with a descriptive list of all the audits and more narrow production initially, that is okay.

**From:** Horner, Trina [mailto:TNHc@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 08, 2011 2:01 PM  
**To:** Cooke, Michelle  
**Subject:** Audit data request

Things are moving on this. Question has come up about submitting under Section 583. There is much sensitive and personal information contained in this request response (particularly in response to questions 2 and 3), beginning with employee names but certainly going beyond that into personal allegations, etc. It will take much longer to provide if we have to go through and redact everything. Thoughts on that?