

From: Marks, Jaclyn
Sent: 9/23/2011 5:09:03 PM
To: Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4)
Cc: Redacted
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Response on Confidentiality

Thank you very much, we greatly appreciate your thorough and timely response.

From: Dietz, Sidney [mailto:SBD4@pge.com]
Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 5:06 PM
To: Marks, Jaclyn
Cc: Redacted
Subject: Response on Confidentiality

Jaclyn,

Attached is PG&E's response to the three questions for each table and figure.

While I understand that you do not plan to release the text, I also wanted to identify an issue, in addition to what I brought up today, with the reference to the UOG study costs. As you know, I am still getting up to speed on this, but I understand that PG&E's Generator Interconnection Services charged study costs to a common PV UOG Program capital account and therefore, while they were not broken out by project, they were still charged to the program. Going forward, they are being charged by project.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me . Thanks!

yours,

sid