

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PG&E Advice Letter 3837-E
Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	ED_001-01-06_CONF		
PG&E File Name:	AL_3837_DR_ED_001-Q01-06_CONF		
Request Date:	September 2, 2011	Requester DR No.:	001
Date Sent:	September 7, 2011	Requesting Party:	Energy Division
PG&E Witness:	Redacted	Requester:	Junaid Rahman

**CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION SUBMITTED UNDER DECISION 06-06-066 APP.1,
ITEM VII "RENEWABLE RESOURCE CONTRACTS UNDER RPS PROGRAM."**

SUBJECT: PG&E ADVICE LETTER 3837-E

QUESTION 1

How is the risk of rising fuel prices hedged?

ANSWER 1

Redacted

QUESTION 2

What are the contract terms for the fuel supply and price? Are there guarantees on the fuel price? How susceptible is Global Ampersand to not being able to procure fuel?

ANSWER 2

Redacted

urban fuel and agricultural waste, so that if there is a supply shortage in one source, the other source may compensate for the short fall.

QUESTION 3

How are the diesel costs hedged for the transportation of the fuel? Is the supplier delivering the fuel or is the developer transporting the fuel themselves?

ANSWER 3

Redacted

QUESTION 4

What is driving the price increase in the amended PPA's?

ANSWER 4

Redacted

QUESTION 5

How does the amended PPA's address PG&E's compliance period need?

ANSWER 5

Approval of Global Ampersand Contracts is Needed to Ensure PG&E's Compliance with California's 33% RPS Mandate

New RPS Compliance Landscape

Redacted

Redacted

Redacted

QUESTION 6

Compared to the 2011 RPS RFO shortlist for biomass projects, why is the Levelized Price and Net Market Value so high?

Redacted

ANSWER 6

Redacted