

From: Clanon, Paul
Sent: 9/2/2011 3:29:22 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Re: Advice 3842-E - PG&E's Response to Protest

"Purported protest" and "apparently submitted" are good snarky prose and you had me leaning in your direction until I came to "California Public Utility Commission". If you choose to misspell the agency's name, the preferred method is to omit the "L" in the second word.

This will teach you to send emails at 3:30 pm on the Friday before a long weekend at the end of the summer. I think the guards and I are the only ones in the building.

On Sep 2, 2011, at 3:19 PM, "Cherry, Brian K" <BKC7@pge.com> wrote:

> Here is our written protest I mentioned in the previous email.

>

[Redacted]

> Sent: Friday, September 02, 2011 02:07 PM

> To: JFC@CPUC.CA.GOV <JFC@CPUC.CA.GOV>; PAC@CPUC.CA.GOV <PAC@CPUC.CA.GOV>;
BOR@CPUC.CA.GOV <BOR@CPUC.CA.GOV>; [Redacted]

[Redacted]

> Subject: Advice 3842-E - PG&E's Response to Protest

>

>

>

> Attached is PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO (PURPORTED) PROTEST OF CARRIZO-MIDWAY 230 KV RECONDUCTORING PROJECT, CALIENTE SWITCHING STATION PROJECT, AND SOLAR SWITCHING STATION PROJECT ADVICE LETTER 3842-E (Exhibits A and B attached), in PDF format, which was hand-delivered on September 2, 2011, with the California Public Utilities Commission.

>

[Redacted] on behalf of

> DAVID KRASKA

> Pacific Gas and Electric Company

> If you have any difficulty opening the attachment, please notify [Redacted]

[Redacted]

>

>

> <<Protest-PGE lt to J Fitch 9-2-11.pdf>>

>

> <Protest-PGE lt to J Fitch 9-2-11.pdf>