

From: Fitch, Julie A.
Sent: 9/12/2011 10:33:34 AM
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)
Cc: Clanon, Paul (paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: Re: URGENT: Carrizo NOC

We finally unearthed the file. The advice letter apparently has never been assigned to an analyst to work on. Ken Lewis is working on that with Mary Jo. We will get a document written as fast as humanly possible. If you could let me know the critical timing needs from your perspective, that would be helpful. Again, apologies for this one dropping through the cracks.

Julie

-----Original Message-----

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Friday, September 09, 2011 4:40 PM
To: Fitch, Julie A.; Clanon, Paul
Subject: RE: URGENT: Carrizo NOC

Let me find out on the timing. The person our law department has been working with is Mary Jo Borak.

-----Original Message-----

From: Fitch, Julie A. [mailto:julie.fitch@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, September 09, 2011 4:34 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K; Clanon, Paul
Subject: RE: URGENT: Carrizo NOC

Agreed. Is there a drop-dead deadline on our needing to finalize this?

Julie

-----Original Message-----

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Friday, September 09, 2011 4:28 PM
To: Fitch, Julie A.; Clanon, Paul
Subject: RE: URGENT: Carrizo NOC

Your note is safe in my deleted file. Let me see if anyone around here knows. I don't want to see any of us get cross-wised with the DOE funding or we all look bad.

-----Original Message-----

From: Fitch, Julie A. [mailto:julie.fitch@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Friday, September 09, 2011 3:48 PM

To: Cherry, Brian K; Clanon, Paul

Subject: RE: URGENT: Carrizo NOC

Not to air our dirty laundry, but I'm going to. I have asked about this about six times since your previous email round, and I still haven't found out who the Energy Division ANALYST is handling this project. I am about as frustrated as you are at this point. As SOON as I get any insight, I will get back to you. It appears this one fell through the cracks somehow. If you happen to have a name of who PG&E thinks is the analyst, maybe I could get to them directly. Right now, neither the tariff folks nor the supervisor seems to know.

However, based on what I have seen and discussed with Ken Lewis, it doesn't appear that an email from a disgruntled contractor would qualify as a protest, so that is not really the issue. The question is: where is our document resolving the filing? I am working on it, trust me! I will report once I learn anything. Sorry for the mess on this one. Apparently this is totally our fault and bungling somewhere in the chain (which I've yet to figure out where). Obviously, I'd prefer if this email doesn't circulate far and wide... Thanks!

Julie

-----Original Message-----

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]

Sent: Friday, September 09, 2011 3:40 PM

To: Clanon, Paul; Fitch, Julie A.

Subject: FW: URGENT: Carrizo NOC

This is the AL filing for the High Plans Ranch Project in Carrizo. Any insight ?

-----Original Message-----

From: Allen, Meredith

Sent: Friday, September 09, 2011 2:11 PM

To: Cherry, Brian K; Dietz, Sidney

Subject: URGENT: Carrizo NOC

Brian - Do you mind checking in with Julie and Paul on dismissing that protest? I just spoke with Jeff from Sunpower and they are getting questions from DOE. DOE is doing the due diligence for the loan guarantee and wants to make sure that we have the CPUC approvals to move forward with the interconnection work. I told him status, which he was going to pass on to DOE. DOE may want to talk with us directly. We really need the Executive Resolution dismissing the protest, which would resolve any questions from DOE. Last thing we need is to have this protest cause issues with their DOE loan guarantee.

Sid is trying to contact the Energy Division analyst as well.

Please let me know if you need me to resend any of the information.

Thanks,
Meredith