From:	Lafrenz, Donald J.		
Sent:	9/13/2011 10:57:29 AM		
To:	Redacted	Robles, Felix V.	
Cc:	(felix.robles@cpuc.ca.gov)		
	Redacted		
	Redacted); Hughes,	
	John (Reg Rel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=J8HS); Hoglund,		
	Teresa J (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=TJH0)		
Bee:			

Bcc:

Subject: RE: 2011 AET Advice Letter - Info Request

Thanks, Felix.

I'm particulary interested in the forecasted data on slides 3 and 4, and hoping that PG&E would not object to having this information made public in the AET resolution. -Don

From: Robles, Felix V.	
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2011 10:52 AM	
To: Redacted	1
Cc: Hoglund, Teresa J; Redacted	Hughes, John (Reg Rel); Lafrenz, Donald J.
Subject: RE: 2011 AET Advice Letter - Info Request	

Redact

Don Lafrenz and I noticed the "Confidential - provided under Public Utilities Code Section 583" notice posted on the first slide of the attached PowerPoint. As we plan to use this information in writing the Resolution addressing PG&E Advice Letter 3896-E, please provide us with assurance that we can use this information in doing so.

Thank you,

Felix Robles

Senior Regulatory Analyst

California Public Utilities Commission

Energy Division, Utility Planning and Oversight Group

Market Structure, Costs, and Natural Gas Branch

Electric Costs Section

505 Van Ness Ave 4th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 703-2801

Fax: (415) 703-2200

From: Redacted
Sent: Monday, September 12, 2011 4:32 PM
To: Lafrenz, Donald J.; Robles, Felix V.
Cc: Hoglund, Teresa J; Redacted
Hughes, John (Reg Rel)
Subject: RE: 2011 AET Advice Letter - Info Request

Dear all,

Please find PG&E's response to Energy Division's data request attached in this email.

Regards,

Redacted

PG&E

Redacted

From: Lafrenz, Donald J. [mailto:donald.lafrenz@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2011 11:41 AM
To: Redacted
Cc: Hoglund, Teresa J; Robles, Felix V.; Redacted
Subject: RE: 2011 AET Advice Letter - Info Request

Redacted would PG&E please send the response to my request about the 2011 AET AL 3896-E to both Felix Robles, and me. And please include both Felix and me on any other correspondence about the 2011 AET.

Thanks,

-Don

From: Lafrenz, Donald J.
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 4:32 PM
To: Redacted
Cc: Hoglund, Teresa J
Subject: RE: 2011 AET Advice Letter - Info Request

Great, Thanks Angelia.

-Don

From: Redacted Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 4:26 PM To: Lafrenz, Donald J. Cc: Hoglund, Teresa J Subject: RE: 2011 AET Advice Letter - Info Request

Hi Don,

Yes, we are planning to file the AET on Sept 1. So, Spat 12 to respond to your request below should be fine.

Thanks for the heads-up.

Regards,

Redacted

PG&E

Redacted

From: Lafrenz, Donald J. [mailto:donald.lafrenz@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Wednesdav. August 17, 2011 3:56 PM To: Redacted Cc: PG&E Tariffs Subject: 2011 AET Advice Letter - Info Request

Redacted

I assume that PG&E will file its AET advice letter on Sept 1 addressing electric rates effective 1/1/12. I am preparing for that filing, and would like to request that PG&E provide some information about the upcoming AET AL.

Attached are 2 documents which are excerpts from last year's AET Resolution E-4379. The doc entitled "2010 AET Revenue Change Breakdown" (Attachment 1) is from pp. 3 though 6 of Res E-4379 and describes the change in revenue components that PG&E forecasted for 2011. Attachment 1 includes three tables showing 1. CPUC-authorized revenue changes, 2. FERC-authorized revenue changes, and 3. the sum of CPUC- and FERC-authorized revenue changes forecasted by PG&E (these tables are on pp. 5 and 6 of Res E-4379).

The attached doc entitled "2010 AET Cost Drivers" (Attachment 2) is from pp. 6 - 8 of Res E-4379 and describes the main reasons for the revenue changes shown in the 3 tables in Attachment 1.

I expect that the resolution addressing the upcoming AET advice letter will include similar text as that shown in Attachments 1 and 2.

Can PG&E please provide by Monday Sept 12:

1. Three tables in the same format as shown in Attachment 1, which show CPUC- and FERCauthorized revenue changes forecasted for 2012 for the different revenue components, as reflected in the upcoming AET AL (in an email dated 9/9/10 Megan sent this information for last year's AET to Energy Div), and

2. The main reasons for the revenue changes expected on 1/1/2012 provided in the tables in response to Q1, above.

Please let me know if you want to discuss.

Thanks,

-Don Lafrenz, CPUC, Energy Division, 415-703-1063

Link to Res E-4379:

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/word_pdf/FINAL_RESOLUTION/128543.doc