

From: Cooke, Michelle  
Sent: 10/15/2011 5:44:32 PM  
To: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: Re: L132

Please write up a description of what you will be doing (which is required because you are withdrawing the notification and request) so we have documentation. With that, we think a waiver is not required, which makes the call to proceed up to PG&E without further action by the Commission.

Michelle

**From:** Horner, Trina [mailto:TNHc@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, October 15, 2011 03:16 PM  
**To:** Cooke, Michelle  
**Subject:** Re: L132

Great, thank you. If you hear lack of support elsewhere, please let me know.

**From:** Cooke, Michelle [mailto:michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Saturday, October 15, 2011 11:36 AM  
**To:** Horner, Trina  
**Subject:** Re: L132

I am personally okay with the solution (which I believe is that the MAOP is 350 or less, right? which takes it to be less than 20% SYMS), I'm just making sure I've done the appropriate internal vetting.

**From:** Horner, Trina [mailto:TNHc@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 14, 2011 06:14 PM  
**To:** Cooke, Michelle  
**Subject:** L132

I understand Sunil, Jennifer and Brian Daubin had some robust discussions today re: the Line 132 sleeve work PG&E has proposed to do -- same issue we discussed Tuesday evening. I just wanted to check to make sure you are comfortable with Sunil's proposed solution, which I believe we will proceed with. Can you let me know, please? Have a good weekend,  
Trina