

From: Tisdale, Matthew
Sent: 10/3/2011 3:19:51 PM
To: Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); Blattner, William (WBlattner@semprautilities.com)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Could you remind me why your utilities have \$100m...

In your comments on the PD/APD, you say, "unspent/uncommitted funds by program cycle are reported monthly to the CPUC already..." Could you send me the latest such report filed by each of your companies?

I'd be happy to have folks from your utilities who have more detailed info, if that's not too much trouble. A conference line would be fine.

From: Blattner, William [mailto:WBlattner@semprautilities.com]
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2011 3:15 PM
To: Tisdale, Matthew; sbd4@pge.com
Subject: RE: Could you remind me why your utilities have \$100m...

I can make it, but if you want to dig in, I would suggest a call-in number to get our experts on the line who can answer questions about numbers and history better than I can. I would be happy to set it up.

From: Tisdale, Matthew [mailto:matthew.tisdale@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2011 2:37 PM
To: Blattner, William; sbd4@pge.com
Subject: Could you remind me why your utilities have \$100m...

... left over from the previous portfolio cycle(s)? Could we talk tomorrow at 1pm or 1:30pm.

I'm reviewing all your filings and past decisions to get my head around this, but the more I read the more confused I become. It may be helpful to talk with you tomorrow, so if I can hold some time on your calendars, I'd be obliged.