

From: Cooke, Michelle
Sent: 10/27/2011 6:13:37 PM
To: Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Follow up questions

So I'm worried that the tables don't reflect the information on this string. They don't reflect anything from 2011 right?

Michelle

From: Ramaiya, Shilpa R [mailto:SRRd@pge.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 6:07 PM
To: Cooke, Michelle
Cc: Horner, Trina; [Redacted]
Subject: RE: Follow up questions

Michelle,

The team has put together the attached tables for 300A and 300B. They include information on test pressure which in most cases are higher than those used for T-117, and the other information you requested below.

I'll do a little research on the retest one for L300B, but I wanted to get this out to you asap.

Shilpa

From: Cooke, Michelle [mailto:michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2011 8:24 AM
To: Ramaiya, Shilpa R
Cc: Horner, Trina
Subject: RE: Follow up questions

What about parts of the 300B system that are not being hydrotested right now? I think that might be what the question is getting at, I'm guessing the question is did other similar pipe in the area get tested to the pressure level at which this segment ruptured.

Thanks.

Michelle

From: Ramaiya, Shilpa R [<mailto:SRRd@pge.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 6:11 PM
To: Cooke, Michelle
Cc: Horner, Trina
Subject: RE: Follow up questions

Michelle,

We also replaced an 1800 feet section of Line 300B (MP 271.26 to 272.40) near Bakersfield in late August due to class location change.

More to come.

Shilpa

From: Ramaiya, Shilpa R
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 5:19 PM

To: 'Cooke, Michelle'
Cc: Horner, Trina
Subject: RE: Follow up questions

Michelle,

I'm providing you the info I have at my fingertips now. For Bakersfield, we had T-82 on Line 300B (MP 263-264) which was hydrottested and spike tested in August. We also had T-115 on Line 300A (MP 288-291) which was hydrottested and spike tested in early October.

I'll provide additional details in the next day about these tests and other tests in Bakersfield.

Shilpa

From: Cooke, Michelle [<mailto:michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 4:40 PM
To: Ramaiya, Shilpa R
Subject: Follow up questions

Shilpa- Do we have information on past tests of Lines 300 A and B, which run through the Bakersfield area? If so, what were the test results, when were they conducted, the thickness and diameter of the pipe, and any other information that may be available from any past test.

You are probably getting similar requests from media, we are getting it from media but also internal folks are asking these types of questions because they want to know if the earlier tests were good. I suspect there is a lot of questioning about were other tests spike tests, duration of tests, and the like.

Info fairly soon would be helpful or a timeline to deliver something.

Thanks.

Michelle Cooke, Interim Director

Consumer Protection and Safety Division

415 703 2349

mlc@cpuc.ca.gov