BEFORE □ð TH**ð**

PUBLIC □ð UTILITIES □ð COMMISSION	□ð OF □ð THE □ð STATÐ □ð OF □ð CA
□ð RESOLUTION ALJ-274.	
Establishes Citation ð Procedures) floðð ð the Enforcement ð of ð Safety nð Reð hatio the ð Consumer ð Protection ð að nd ð ð Saðe Division ð Staff ð for ð Violatioð ð by ð Corporations ð of ð General ð O d ð ð ð 112 and ð Code ð of ð Federal ð Reg ð lð tions, 49, ð Parts ð 190, ð 191, ð 192,ð ð 193 ð ð	tyDraft □ð Resolution₁27 & Ó. ð Gas 2 □ð Title
REPLY _ o COMMENTS o ohe _ o UTILITY _ o	WORKERS DÖ UNION DÖ OF ÖÖ AMERICA
<u>ON □ð PROPOSED □ð RESO</u> DELEGATING □ð CERTAIN □ð ENFOR	<u>OLUTIDM</u> , □ð ALJ CEMENT □ð AUTHORIÐ Y □ð to □ð the □ð
CONSUMER □ð PROTECTION □ð AN	D □ð SAFETY □ð DIVÆSION □ð (CPSD)
□ð □ð	
□ð	
□ð	
□ð □ð	
□ð	
□ð □ð	
□ð	
	William DX Inlian XX II
	William □ð Juliam ð ð II 43556 □ð Almond □ð ðane □ð
Utility 🗆 ð Workers 🗆 ð Union 🗆 ð 🗖 ð 🗖 ð 🐧 meriða	Davis □ð CA □ð □ðð 95618
10103 📑 Live 📑 Oalð 📑 ð 📑 Ö	
Cherry □ð Valley □ð CA □ððð □ð □ð 2223 ð □ð Phone: □ð (951)¬1112\$ Ġ Z ð	E¬ma l :Ġ□ð □ð billjulian@sbcglob ð l.net
E¬ma∰Ġ¬ð □ð carl.wood@verizoðn.net	
□ð	
□ð October □ð 25, □ðð2011	
_ð	
\square ð	

 $\Box\eth$

REPLY DO COMMENTS DO OF DO UWUA ON DO PROPOSED DO RESOLUTION, DO ALI DELEGATING DO CERTAIN DO ENFORCEMENT DO AUTHORION DO to DO the CONSUMER DO PROTECTION DO AND DO SAFETY DO DIVISION DO (CPSD)

□ x	CONSCINENT BUT ROTHERTON BUTTING BUSINESS BUTTING CONSCINENT
□ð □ð	
□ð	The □ð National □ð Transportation □ð S(MellSyB) norðað bærð ð devastating □ð
obser	vation □ð irAcd íðlits t ⊡ðð Report □ð on □ð San □ð Bruno □ð Pipelinēð□ð Rupture □ð
□ð	Because ð of ð the ð lapses ð of ð oversight ð seen ð in ð this concerned ð and ð has ð strong ð doubts ð about ð the ð quality ð and enforcement ð at ð both ð the ð Federal ð and ððstate ðð OP Wels. ð and thio PHMSA ð have ð authority ð to ð enforce ð pipeline ð safety ð regulation: organizational ð failures ð of ð PG&E ð seen ð in ð this ð accident ð su operators ð are ð able ð to ð ignore ð certain ð standards ð without ð certain ð the ð ineffective ð en posture ð of ð the ð NTSB ð concludes ð that ð the ð ineffective ð en posture ð of ð the ð CPUC ð permitted ð PG&E's ð organizational ð failure over ð many ð years. ð The ð NTSB ð recommends ð that ð the ð gover California ð expeditiously ð evaluatehoriðythe ð að dut ð ability ð of ð the ð pipesafety ð division ð within ð the ð CPUC ð to ð effectively ð enforce ð st regulations, ð and, ð based ð on ð the ð results ð of ð this ð evaluation, safety ð division ð within ð the ð CPUC ð the ð diræt ðð authority, ð incassessment ð of ð fines ð and ð penalties, ð to ð correct decompliance regulated ð pipeline ð operað tors.
<u>Bruno</u>	ent@@Beport@@@n@@@he@@Blatural@@@as@@@ransmission@@Bipeline@@Bupture@@@nd@@B ,@@@alifornia_ð September ð 9, ð 2010,111 7@\$,TSB /PAR 01 -191165\$G 1 ðgAst ð ð 2011), ð at ððpage ð 123
□ð	The distillity distribution dis
of □č	proposed □ð Resolu t2771⊉ĠŏŏAsty on ð l □ð to □ð this □ð obsæduadeon,ð□ð to □ð
proce	dural commplexities □ð thaty ormate □ð practical □ð barriers □ð to □ð snecceuring □ð com
by □	ð gas \Box ð utilities \Box ð with \Box ð the \Box ð Commission bð andgasð m eganfænna d mað skrið \Box ð
provi	de □ð meaningful □ð incentives □ð for □ð cosň filhusbj ecti væð □ð advocated □ð by □ð
the 🗆	ð Independent □ð Review □ð Panel □ð { <u>IRAÐ) ort l</u>ó and □ ð pi ag 2 ; ð Jþó 20 ð □ð well□ðið as
the N	185 B. □ð
□ð	\Box \eth
□ð	3

\Box ð In \Box ð these \Box ð Reply \Box ð Comments \Box ð UWUA \Box ð seeks \Box ð to \Box ð assure \Box ð that \Box
pursuit \square ð of \square ð these \square ð objectives \square ð is \square ð fully \square ð consistent \square ð with \square ð the \square ð new
response □ð to □ð the □ð San □ð Bruno □ð explosion, □ð particularly □ð Chapter □ð 522
705 □ ĕn(d) . □ð □ð Improving □ð on □ð the □ð Commission's □ð exercise □ð of □ð its □
fully □ð consistent □ð with □ð the □ð safety □ð plan □ð process □ð enacted □ð by □ð Cl
how □ð to □ð deploy □ð those □ð powers □ð in □ð a □ð way □ð that □ð maximizes □ð
elimination \Box ð ofardð, hað including ið offarð fation \Box ð by \Box ð employees \Box ð and \Box ð operator
and □ð thus □ð maximizes □ð incident □ð ðaprevðe nail berd □ð for □ ð Plöly. □ðð tlite il.□ð ðs tað dee □ð
section 🗆 ð 961(d) (Al) holigh 🗆 ð ð not 🗆 ð all 🗀 ð hazards 🗀 ð are 11 🏖 🖒 lations 🗆 ð U6DO TGO ð
regulations, □ð o verið lG sis □ð of □ð punitive □ ñ mæða s es po nsæð □ð to □ð asserted □ð violat
could \Box ð create \Box ð obstacles \Box ð to-thið preveysti em attið ntification \Box ð and \Box ð elimination \Box ð
of □ð haza brek ore □ð they □ð cause □ð injury. Ⅲððand □ð damage
□ð CPSD □ð and □ð its □ð predecessors □ð have □ð for □ð s 6me nfi ðstim és □ðð carried □
powers \square ð of \square ð investi gð tion cerning \square ð operating \square ð and \square ð maintenance \square ð practices,
condition □ð of □ð faci liti esvice □ð adequacy, □ð reportingk æð læg l □ð re c hrd ing □ð
the □ð identification □ð of □ð vão bafti oð mið General □ð Oð chard □ð the □ð
recommendation Dð of D ð fi n lesð estigation 22 da 22 Maintenance 22 Mactices 22 da fð Da CA
04¬06環Ġð Sec. http://kdodð.cpuc.ca.gov/PUBLISHED/FINAL DECISION/36914.htm □ð
□ð The apparent innovation □ð proposedA口也质量的。
process \square ð following identification \square ð of \square ð violations \square ð during \square ð the \square ð coung \square ð of
with \Box ð the \Box ð objective \Box ð of \Box ð expeditin § $_7$ 27 3. Úth plianvides \Box ð two \Box ð primary \Box ð
delegations \Box ð to \Box ð CPSD \Box ð thaffty \Box ð ð Toð (1ð) r e ð vare \Box ð remediation \Box ð of \Box ð identifie
violations 🗆 ð within 🗆 ð seven of ð [ð] is stóa dæys 🗆 ð ð of [ð with 🗀 ð ith tion ð oð þion 🗀 ð to 🗀 ð ext
the 🛮 ð correction 🗘 ð period 🖟 tið ó ona l 🗷 ð ða s nev eð a að l ð (7) ð ð ð ð ð ð ð ð ð imposition 🗘 ð of 🗀
a □ð maximum □ð fine □ð (after □ð þan uð ryn noðu út; □ð 2012ð fið ty □ð thousand □ð dollars
(\$50,000.00) □ð per, □ðoðstyrts. □ð 2011, □ð €kaplicítlý2fæðúrhæðurable □ð in □ðoðastests. □ð

4

2011, □ð Ch.) ʃðið 19ð each □ð violatjon ð sðubjtæd □ð to □ðð appealð The □ð effect □ð of □ċ
measures 🗆 ð is 🗆 ð to 🗆 ð raise 🗆 ð th eom þústake s 🗆 ð ð ví víth 11 ð þíð). 🗆 ð and 🗀 ð federal 🗀 ð
safety \square ð standards \square ð and \square ð metrics \square ð and \square ð to \square ð create \square ð real \square ð incentives \square ð
when □ð violations □ð are □ð unðovered. □ð □ð
□ð Chapter □ð 522 □ð creates □ð the □ð requirement □ð of □ð a □ð safety □ð plan □
other 🗆 ð things, 🗆 ð for 🗀 ð identific ð teitim i n ð tinn 🗆 ð of 🗀 ð sa fæty act öv bbyz ar dis 🗆 ð 🗀 ð UWU
has $\ \ \Box \ \eth \ dvocated \ \ \Box \ \eth \ both \ \ \Box \ \delta \ both \ \ \Box \ \delta \ Southern \ \ \Box \ \delta \ Gas \ \ \Box \ \delta \ General \ \ \Box$
A.10 12 12 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16
safety $\ \ \Box \delta \ \text{culture} \ \ \Box \delta \ \text{that} \ \ \Box \delta \ \text{promotes} \ \ \Box \delta \ \text{transparent} \ \ \Box \delta \ \text{communication} \ \ \Box \delta \ \text{among} \ \ \Box \delta \ \text{c}$
utility □ð employeeð and □ð their □ð repreðs emmtdativð utility □ð bonuatna gðenssa fetðy a□ð issues □ð
and □ð hazar ð þ pro vðal □ð of lù ð ið kæ 幼 ð ja 27 健 Ġ ð should □ð not □ð deter □ð this □ð open □
communication. 🗆 👸
□ð The Commission's □ð practice□ð ðgraduated □ð enfor candept ð posals □ð by □ð the □ċ
$IRP \ \ \Box \eth \ and \ \ \Box f \eth iNTS B streengthening regenerally \ co \eth sistent \ \ \Box \eth \ with \ \ the both safety \ \ \Box \eth \ plan \ \ \Box$
now □ ðtirte □ ð ð [£\ rapt ě r □ð 5 2\2\] hē t□ð safety □ð culture □ð proposal. □ð □ð The □ð la
with $\square \eth$ a $\square \eth$ program $\square \eth$ of $\square \eth$ prevention $\square \eth$ that $\square \eth$ is $\square \eth$ outside $\square \eth \square \eth$ and for \eth nal $\square \eth$
may \square ð be \square ð broader \square ð in \square ð its \square ð approach \square ð to \square ð illa \square ð da eldmin \square that
based \Box ð formal \Box ð enforcement \Box ð aþþroð ðhæs aduate dmeð ten fð tæm ences \Box ð where \Box ð
the $\ \Box\eth$ existence $\ \Box\eth$ of $\ \Box\eth$ formal $\ \Box\eth$ standards $\ \Box\eth$ is $\ \Box\eth$ insufficient $\ \Box\eth$ by $\ \Box\eth$ itself $\ \Box\eth$ to
hazard \Box ð eliminati T he "fix \Box e le ment \Box ð of 27 27.4 (b.) that \Box ð requires \Box ð immediate \Box ð
correction of \eth \eth a \square \eth violation \square \eth of \square \eth the \square \eth formal \square \eth standard Lated is \square \eth \eth the \square \eth first
enforcement. \Box \eth This \Box \eth "fix \Box \eth it" \Box \eth oraled \Box \eth isppr \eth prioriselessal \eth \eth \eth operator \Box \eth has
proved \Box ð unwillingddhæss \Box ð æhichinæte \Box ð an \Box ð identified \Box ð hazard. \Box ð \Box ð \Box ð The
disagreement $\square \eth$ between $\square \eth$ the $\square \eth$ operator $\square \eth$ (utility) $\square \eth$ and $\square \eth$ enforcer $\square \eth \eth$ (commi
the \square ð existence \square ð of \square ð the \square ð violation \square ð is \square ð resolved \square ð by \square ðaCahapðter \square ð 522,
absolute \square ð priority \square ð for \square ð ∂P sabte ∇ t ∂U til. \square ð Code \square ð section \square ð 963(b)22 \square ð ∂ dded \square ð U
□ð The □ð "fixnen prodicite" ment □ð thluss □ðð innot□ð löhe □ð ordinar pra teð orðinster meðnt □ð
to □ð open □ð tarræðhsparent □ð communication □ð about □ð safety □ð hazards □ð includin

with □ð GO □ð 1122 (Æ) cussion □ð of □ð the □ð hazard □ð including thatremællial □ð öthæppro
problem cano di atake di place di vithout di coercion di so di long di as di all di par
resolving \Box ð the \Box ð prob leim i n ð tingl \Box ð t the \Box ð hað zav ith \Box ð the \Box ð Commission \Box ð staff \Box
the diffinal disay different differe
limit $\square \eth$ the $\square \eth$ amount $\square \eth$ of $\square \eth$ the $\square \eth$ fine $\square \eth$ for $\square \eth$ which $\square \eth$ the $\square \eth$ utility $\square \eth$ may
number 🗆 ð of 🗆 ð days 🗆 ð during ð Tóðnær hiðði wiðði kalle 🗆 ð að ðumuðl átte. 🗆 ð the 🗀 ð case 🗀 ð of 🗀 ð
geographically $\ \Box$ ð extensive $\ \Box$ ð hazards, $\ \Box$ ð a $\ \Box$ ð remediation $\ \Box$ ð plan $\ \Box$ ð should $\ \Box$ ð be $\ \Box$
element 口ð of 口ð the 口ð inbræfstiga Eið nit 口滴 tæsen tð in 口ð a pūðsciatantion ð Itð A工程 Ġ ð
□ð However, heð t□ð fine □ð p s o viðioh □ðpthæd□ðiðir æsolut næð y □ð create □ð a □ð barrie
to \Box ð the \Box ð open \Box ð conthatnicðtisn \Box ð ðssential \Box ð both \Box ð for \Box ð the \Box ð Chapter \Box ð 5
the □ð safētÿ cultureð □ð For □ð this □ð policy □ð reason □ð the deð Coðnumóskifying ðishou
them. \Box ð \Box ð The \Box ð drastic \Box ð nature \Box ð of \Box ð the \Box ð fine \Box ð provision, \Box ð particularly
discretion \square ð at \square ð the \square ð initial \square ð investigative \square ð phase, \square ð and \square ð the \square ð legal \square ð o
under □ð current □ð law □ð and □ð statute □ð inject □ð an □ðmekkymēnðt ob strouct ð lð tigiou
communication \Box ð and \Box ð und he mīn \dot{e} co \dot{o} peration \Box ð necessary \Box ð to \Box ð achieve \Box ð a \Box c̀
approach $\ \Box$ ð to $\ \Box$ ð hazard $\ \Box$ ð identification $\ \Box$ ð a $\ \Box$ ð elimination.
$\ \ \Box\eth\ \ \hbox{The}\ \ \Box\eth\ \ \hbox{Commission}\ \ \Box\eth\ \ \hbox{should}\ \ \Box\eth\ \ \hbox{consider}\ \ \Box\eth\ \ \hbox{modifying}\ \ \Box\eth\ \ \hbox{fine}\ \ \Box\eth\ \ \hbox{require}$
providing \Box \eth some \Box \eth discretion, \Box \eth \eth \eth \eth \eth \eth \eth \eth \Box \eth \Box \eth of \Box \eth
that discretion the diminimposition of of discretion was veines of shound used the diminimposition of discretions.
cases offð jó violatithest ið are jið are jið and joð and joð and joð and jó where jó no jó inju∷
$ \ \ \text{damage} \ \ \Box \eth \ \text{nesulted} \ \ \Box \eth \ \text{from} . \\ \ \Box \eth \eth \ \text{the} \ \eth \Box \eth \ \text{the} \ \eth \Box \eth \ \text{the} \ \partial \Box \eth \ \text{the} \ $
the $\ \Box\eth$ open $\ \Box\eth$ communication $\ \Box\eth$ between $\ \Box\eth$ Commission $\ \Box\eth$ staff, $\ \Box\eth$ utility $\ \Box\eth$ employ
management \square ð needed \square ð to \square ð implement \square ð the \square ð safety \square ð \square ð ture \square ð and \square ð safe
□ð Gas □ð utilities □ð including □ð Southðwærd □ð Gau thern □ð Californíað □ð Gas □ð ha
questioned \Box \eth the \Box \eth lawfuln \bullet sthe \eth \bullet proposal, \Box \eth focusing \Box \eth particularly \Box \eth on \Box \eth the
provision. Threse ð ð legal d arguments d are d northeð við ellrop ð sædund ð d de soð utiðn d
has □ð provi thed st að e □ð lavð under þings □ð for □ð its □ð proposals, □ð including □ð preli
impositions □ð for □ð finescoloð for □ð an □ð an □ð

expedited appeal $\ \square\ \delta$ process $\ \square\ \delta$ that $\ \square\ \delta$ will $\ \square\ \delta$ result $\ \square\ \delta$ in $\ \square\ \delta$ a $\ \delta$ if $\ \delta$ c $\ \delta$ mexis in $\ \square\ \delta$ dec
Deposit \Box ð of \Box ð the \Box ð fine \Box ð at \Box ð the \Box ð Commission \Box ð prevents \Box ð dilatory \Box ð ta
remediation; 🗆 ð it 🗆 ð is 🗆 ð not 🗆 ð a 🗆 ð taking 🗆 ð sæd. 🗆 ð ðongð Tðhas 🗆 ð ð Cinten ésti omð í dð 🗆
remains □ð the □ð ultimate □ð finder □ð of □ð the □ð facts □ð concerni sg e□ðiðhe □ð un
<u>Edison 2 2 Maintenance 2 2 Pra</u> cticos \$ 0014€ Ġ \$\$ \$\$jora. □ð ð □ð □ð
\Box ð The \Box ð exis titæg uttoðry \Box ð framework \Box ð for \Box ð collecting \Box ð fines \Box ð through \Box ð a
proceeding, □ð which □ð PropŏoResolutAduh 27 Poð Öðs □ð not □ð dið dussause □ð it □ð does □
not □ð nee,dalsððð toð remains □ð in □pðrpvlaides □ððasnulfficiðent □ð opportunity □ð to □ð be □ð
and □ð to □ð adjust □ð or □ð compro mpispæ all jörliðnes s □ððasnotv ð stheð l fð rward □ð to □ð add
the □ð legal □ð and □ð due □ð process □ð arguments □ð raised □ð by □ð Southwest □ð
California □ð Gas. □ð □ð □ð C.f., □ð Pub. □ð Util. □ð Code □ð secti ðnts o □ðð 2:bûlê ct□ð [ðaut
fines), □ð 21 p r4oæðu(re anð □ð vefnæ □ððsuítð to □ð coll e,c21ð ð.5inæð mpromise □ð of □ð
fines □ð assessed □ð pridurring toðsðiðinforðuð gas □ð gorþðrations
□ð For □ð the □ð foregoing □ð reasons, □ð UWUA □ð respectfully □ð supports □ð the
Resolution □ð₁ スエスネţiĠ ð as □ð modified □ð to □ð provide □ð discretion □ð in □ð the □ð ex
fine □ð where tið nosiolað have □ð þið de skelf ð and doð skelfed □ð and □ð no □ð damage [
has □ð resulted □ð from □ð theð□ð violation.
□ð
/s/ 🛮 ð 🖸 ð 🗘 ð 🗘 ð 🗘 ð 🗳 ð ð
Carl □ð Woōð⊡ð □ð □ð □ð □ð □ð William □ð Juliam ð ð II
Regulatory □ð Affairs □ð Diræctorð □ð □ð 43556 □ð Almond □ð ðane □ð
Utility Dð Workers Dð Union Dð Dð Dð Amerika Dð Davis Dð CA Dð
10103 □ð Live □ð Oalð □ð Ave. □ð □ð □ð Phone: □ð □ð (5 .766)£3€ 50219 Cherry □ð Valley □ð CA □ðð □ð 92223 ð □ð E ₇ mæ Ĉ Ō □ð billjulian@sbcglobð l.net
Phone: $\Box \delta (951)_{7}$ $\Box \delta \bullet $
E¬malkĠ_ð □ð carl.wood@verizððn.net
□ð
\Box ð
\square \eth
October □ð 25, □ð 2 011