From:	Redacted
Sent:	10/4/2011 4:40:17 PM
To:	'Turhal, Cem' (cem.turhal@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:	Redacted ; Allen,
	Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe); Redacted
	Redacted ; Kalafut, Jennifer (jennifer.kalafut@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:	(Jennifer.kaiarat@epae.ea.gov)
	RE: PG&E Biomass Update
Confide	ntial Protected Material Enclosed
Pursuar	nt to General Order 66-C, Pub. Util. Code § 583 and D.06-06-066
Not for	Public Disclosure
Hi Cem,	
	ched an update on our QF Biomass contracts for your information. Please let me you have any questions.
Thanks,	
Redacted	
From: Tu	rhal, Cem [mailto:cem.turhal@cpuc.ca.gov]
	nday, September 26, 2011 4:03 PM
Cc: Redac	ted ; Kalafut, Jennifer; Redacted
Subject:	RE: PG&E Biomass Update
Yes that	timeframe works for us.
Thank yo	ou,
Cem Turh	al

From: Redacted

Sent: Monday, September 26, 2011 4:00 PM

To: Turhal. Cem

Cc: Redacted

Subject: RE: PG&E Biomass Update

Hi Cem,

Alice let me know that you were looking for an update on our Biomass QFs. I would be happy to provide that for you. However, several of our key staff are out of the office this week. I believe we can get you an update early next week. I hope that timeframe works for you.

Thanks,

Redacted

From: Turhal, Cem [mailto:cem.turhal@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Monday, September 26, 2011 3:19 PM

To: Redacted
Cc: Redacted

Subject: FW: PG&E Biomass Update

Hello Red

My name is Cem Turhal and I work in the CPUC. I recently emailed Tom regarding a data update on your Biomass facilities and his out of office reply stated that I should contact you.

I am looking for a data update on the biomass facilities in PG&E. The attached xls above is the biomass update we received from you in July 2011 and now we are looking for a second update on you Biomass portfolio. Please feel free to call me with any questions or comments.

Cheers,
Cem Turhal
(415) 703-3196
From: Turhal, Cem Sent: Monday, September 26, 2011 3:10 PM To: Redacted Subject: PG&E Biomass Update
Hello Tom,
Hope all is well. I have recently been asked to present an updated version of the PG&E Biomass facilities xls (see attached above) to some of our folks here in the CPUC. Could you please let me know who the right person might be to speak to about getting that data update?
Cheers,
Cem
From: Redacted Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2011 5:28 PM To: Kalafut, Jennifer Cc: Redacted Redacted ; Allen, Meredith; Redacted Subject: RF: follow-up

Confidential Protected Material Enclosed

	Pursuant to General Order 66-C, Pub. Util. Code § 583 and D.06-06-066
	Not for Public Disclosure
	Hi Jennifer,
	Please find our responses to your questions below. Please note that the file sent to you on Friday, July 22 had an error in it. The row with Count number 8 [the second Sierra Pacific Ind. (Burney)] was a typo. We have deleted that row and replaced it with Covanta Mendota (which is Count number 3 in the attached file). We also added Column F "Historical All-in Price (\$/MWh) and Column G "Requested All-in Energy and Capacity Price" per your request. Pursuant to your conversation earlier today with David Poster, I will send you our confidentiality declaration and matrix (as required under D.08-04-023 and D.06-06-066) tomorrow under separate cover.
	In addition, I am enclosing our response to your various clarifying questions below. After reviewing the attached, please let me know if you have any questions.
	Thanks,
	Tom
	Q. I apologize for the multiple emails on this. However, I need to request an additional piece of information. That is, could you please provide the name of the independent evaluator for each of these contract negotiations as well.
	Lewis Hashimoto from Arroyo Seco Consulting is the Independent Evaluator.
R	edacted
1	

Redacted
Redacted
The state of the s
Redacted
2. If the facilities are not currently being paid on the IEP fixed-price settlement (i.e. if Column H lists "No"), is it correct to assume that they are getting paid SRAC for energy deliveries? If not, what are their energy payments? What is the current SRAC?
Redacted
Redacted
Redacted

The monthly SRAC for July is .044534.

aco	ceptable)?	
Redact	ed	
Ser To: Cc:	m: Kalafut, Jennifer [mailto:jennifer.kalafut@cpuc.ca.gov] nt: Monday, July 25, 2011 12:00 PM Redacted Redacted acted pject: RE: follow-up	ted
Tor	n and Red ,	
Tha	pologize for the multiple emails on this. However, I need to request an addition at is, could you please provide the name of the independent evaluator for ea potiations as well.	onal piece of information ch of these contract
Tha	ank you.	
Bes	st,	
Jen		

3. Can you please send the MWh operating capacity of these facilities (average of production over past three years or another estimate along those lines would be

Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 11:36 AM	_
To: Redacted	Allen Meredith: Redacted
Redacted Redacted	Allen, Meredith; Redacted
Subject: RE: follow-up	
,	
Hi Tom,	
Thank you for sending this. I have a few questions on the	ne attached:
1. The attached shows that currently there are 11 facilit	ies for a total of 260 MW currently on the IED
Settlement. I had thought that there were 17 biomass fa	
Settlement. Were DG Fairhaven and Mt. Lassen origina	
O 16 the facilities are not accountly being unid on the IED	fixed pairs nottlesses /: a if Oak was It lists
2. If the facilities are not currently being paid on the IEP "No"), is it correct to assume that they are getting paid \$	
their energy payments? What is the current SRAC?	or the left effective field in the control of the c
3. Can you please send the MWh operating capacity of three years or another estimate along those lines would	
tinee years of another estimate along those lines would	be acceptable):
Please reply with this information by the end of the day.	
presuming that you have most of this information on hame a call to discuss.	nd. If you cannot meet this deadline, please give
me a call to discuss.	
Best,	
lon	
Jen	

From: Kalafut, Jennifer

From: Redacted	
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2011 5:36 PM	
To: Kalafut. Jennifer	
Cc: Redacted	; Allen, Meredith; Redacted
Redacted	
Subject: RE: follow-up	
Confidential Protected Material Enclosed	
Pursuant to General Order 66-C, Pub. Util	. Code § 583 and D.06-06-066
Not for Public Disclosure	
Jennifer:	
Please find attached our response to the information which contains commercially sensitive information the 066 and Section 583 of the Public Utilities Code. In a excel spreadsheet is proprietary customer information against the public interest if inadvertently or intentions	at should be treated as confidential under D.06-06- ddition, the information contained in the attached n provided to PG&E in confidence and would be
Please let me know if you have any questions. Thanl	ks!
Regards, Redacted	
From: Redacted Sent: Friday, July 22, 2011 8:12 AM To: 'Kalafut, Jennifer' Cc: Redacted Subject: RE: follow-up	
I sent it to Redacted yesterday afternoon. Let me f	iollow up with him.
From: Kalafut, Jennifer [mailto:jennifer.kalafut@cpuc Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2011 12:56 PM To: Redacted Subject: follow-up	.ca.gov]

Thanks again, Redac for all your help on the biomass issue. If you can get me information this afternoon

yet, that would be most helpful.
Best,
Jen
Jennifer Kalafut
Energy Division
California Public Utilities Commission
Tel: 415.703.1475