

From: Ramaiya, Shilpa R
Sent: 11/2/2011 3:26:21 PM
To: 'Shori, Sunil' (sunil.shori@cpuc.ca.gov)

Cc: Redacted

Bcc:

Subject: RE: Questions related to Line 147 and related shorts

Sunil,

Here are the answers to your questions regarding Line 147 pressure restoration documentation:

Q1) For documents related to Line 147, the index lists Job 4690798 but what are provided are documents Job 4670798

A1) Job numbers are mis-labeled on the Strength Test Pressure report that is image file name MAOP00908555.tif. This image shows the 4670798 job number; however, the corresponding test chart and job file shows job number 4690798. There are other Strength Test Pressure Reports (Index 6,7,8) in the Line 147 shorts that have the 4690798 job number and the same job description.

Q2) How does one blow-down valve end up on two segments (i.e., BD 305 on segments 601 and 602)?

A2) This is fairly common. A "short" is treated just like a "route". It is just the name of a route and can have multiple segments.

Q3) How does data from the STPR get converted to M.P. data (i.e. GM174745) when confirming the status of facilities?

A3) The stationing or segments along the pipe have to be matched up with what is listed in the PFL. For example, 174745 is an installation job listed on the PFL. You can review the stationing and pipe segments for this from the PFL, then take them to the STPR Index, look up that stationing and see if the pipe was tested.

Q4) Why does the drawing for Line 147 Shorts appear to show a 3-inch pipe for BD 305 when the documents appear to indicate this as being a 6-inch nominal OD pipe?

A4) This is an inaccuracy on the map. The purpose of the map is to give a relative location for the facilities. Improved maps are being prepared. Please note that the operating map provides a relative location of the facility but the as-built drawings provide the details regarding the installation specifications of the respective component which is used to build the PFL and subsequently perform the MAOP validation.

Let us know if you have any other questions.

Thanks.

Shilpa

415-973-3186

-----Original Message-----

From: Shori, Sunil [mailto:sunil.shori@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, November 01, 2011 5:15 PM

To: Ramaiya, Shilpa R

Subject: Questions related to Line 147 and related shorts

Shilpa,

I request PG&E provide a response to the following questions related to Line 147 and 147 Shorts:

1) For documents related to Line 147, the index lists Job 4690798 but what are provided are documents Job 4670798

2) How does one blow-down valve end up on two segments (i.e., BD 305 on segments 601 and 602)?

3) How does data from the STPR get converted to M.P. data (i.e. GM 174745) when confirming the status of facilities?

4) Why does the drawing for Line 147 Shorts appear to show a 3-inch pipe for BD 305 when the documents appear to indicate this as being a 6-inch nominal OD pipe?

Thanks, Shilpa.

Sunil Shori