

From: Horner, Trina
Sent: 11/28/2011 5:13:53 PM
To: Shori, Sunil (sunil.shori@cpuc.ca.gov) (sunil.shori@cpuc.ca.gov); [Redacted]
[Redacted] Reg Rel SB
Requests (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn= Recipients/cn=RegRelSBRequests)
Cc: Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Line 109

Thanks, May. Sunil, just a gentle reminder to please continue using the Reg Rel SB Requests mailbox, which allows us to make sure SOMEone sees your request and does not rely on any specific person to be in the office to start work on answering your question. Shilpa is out until later this week on a well earned vacation, so I'm glad you copied May in this case but it's safer to use the generic mailbox, which is always staffed. Thanks, Sunil.

Trina

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, November 28, 2011 5:07 PM
To: Shori, Sunil (sunil.shori@cpuc.ca.gov); Reg Rel SB Requests
Cc: Horner, Trina; Ramaiya, Shilpa R; [Redacted]
Subject: FW: Line 109

Good Evening Sunil,
fyi...Shilpa will be on vacation through Dec 1st. I am forwarding this data request to the Reg Rel SB Requests mailbox.

Thanks,
May

[Redacted]

Email communication may contain privileged or confidential information proprietary to Pacific Gas and Electric Co.. If you have received this communication in error, we ask that you advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

-----Original Message-----

From: Shori, Sunil [mailto:sunil.shori@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Monday, November 28, 2011 5:00 PM
To: Ramaiya, Shilpa R
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: Line 109

Shilpa:

Last week I spoke with Todd Hogesnson regarding the November 18th article from the Mercury News. The pipe specifications for the approximately 1/2 mile of pipe installed in Palo Alto in 1936 are:

22-inch SSAW, .313 wall, 33 ksi YS, bell-bell- chill ring, which at 375 psig operates at 50% SMYS (at 0.8 JEF). The section in Palo Alto is scheduled to be replaced in 2013 while sections of Line 109 in San Jose, Sunnyvale, and Mountain View are scheduled for replacement in 2012.

I understood that PG&E was sending Redacte a letter related to the article and I had requested CPSD receive a copy of the letter. In addition, unless clarified in the letter, I requested the following information related to the article:

- 1) Maximum PIC/PIR for section in Palo Alto (near school) and maximum PIC/PIR for any HCA segment on Line 109;
- 2) Integrity Management risk ranking, seam type, wall thickness, diameter, CP history, and reason for replacement for segments in Palo Alto discussed in the article and for each of the Line 109 segments scheduled to be replaced in 2012; and
- 3) Line SMYS at 300 psig and 375 psig for section discussed in the article and each of the segments to be replaced in 2012.

It is requested that PG&E provide a response to this information request by December 6, 2011.

Please let me know if there are any questions related to this request.

Thanks, Shilpa.

Sunil Shori