From:	Redacted
Sent:	11/10/2011 3:26:15 PM
To:	Shori, Sunil (sunil.shori@cpuc.ca.gov) (sunil.shori@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:	Medina, Joe A (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JAMN);
	Singh, Sumeet
	(/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=S1ST56905772); Horner,
	Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC)
Bcc:	

Bcc:

Subject: RE: RE: Pressure Restoration Filing - Data Request 3 - (Email 3 of 4)

Email 3 of 4

Q8 Redacted	
Redacted	Confirm if this is an actual reading or data entry error.

Redacted

Q9) Redacted

Redacted ' Was this repaired following removal? Repair data for the dig does not show if this was repaired, when, how, or who made the repair.

Redacted			

<<...>>

Redacted

Principal Regulatory Case Manager | Operations Proceedings | Pacific Gas and Electric Co.

Redacted

Email communication may contain privileged or confidential information proprietary to Pacific Gas and Electric Co.. If you have received this communication in error, we ask that you advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Redacted

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 3:25 PM
To: Shori, Sunil (sunil.shori@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Horner, Trina; Singh, Sumeet; Medina, Joe A
Subject: RE: RE: Pressure Restoration Filing - Data Request 3 - (Email 2 or 4)

Email 2 of 4.....

Q3) Why was WFMT not performed on all locations (i.e., page 7 of 148 -- M.P. 2.51) even though it is part of the scope of H-Forms and the validation digs?

Redacted

<< File: 03	Field Assessment	Summary	Table	v2.pdf >>
-------------	------------------	---------	-------	-----------

O4) Redacted				
Redacted	What is meant by "corrected?" What deficiencies were identified, where are they			
recorded, and what repairs were performed?				

Redacted

Q5) Considering there are no tie-in welds, fittings, or differences in pipe wall thicknesses, why were small pups (Pups 1 and 2) used at Line 101 M.P.19.99?

Redacted

<< File: 04 Line 101 RC report.pdf >>

Q	5)	Redacted

Redacted

Why was the MPT not performed?

Redacted

Q7) As in the case of M.P. 33.13, MPT was part of the scope for the dig at M.P. 33.308, but was not done. Why was the MPT not performed? Also, why was the internal corrosion grid size reduced, by PG&E's field engineer, to cover only the 5:30 to 6:30 clock-face on the pipe?

Redacted

Redacted

Principal Regulatory Case Manager | Operations Proceedings | Pacific Gas and Electric Co.

Redacted

Email communication may contain privileged or confidential information proprietary to Pacific Gas and Electric Co.. If you have received this communication in error, we ask that you advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Redacted Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 3:24 PM To: Shori, Sunil (sunil.shori@cpuc.ca.gov) Cc: Horner, Trina; Singh, Sumeet; Medina, Joe A Subject: RE: RE: Pressure Restoration Filing - Data Request 3 - (Email 1 or 4)

Good Afternoon Sunil,

Please find PG&E's data response to the questions you sent yesterday, 11/9/11. I tried to send this earlier, but due to mailbox size restrictions, the response was rejected. I will send this in 4 separate emails.

Should you have any additional questions tomorrow or during the weekend, please reach out to Joe Medina on his Redacted

Thank you,

Redacted

Q1) Is there an index which defines the data headings in the spreadsheets (i.e., Q4 for STPR quality, O.D. 1, O.D. 2, W.T. 1, W.T. 2, etc.)?

Redacted

<< File: 01 Column_Headings.pdf >>

Q2) Redacted

Redacted

How many feet did the 1977 test cover and Is there a follow-up test which provides an 8 hour test?

Redacted

<< File: 02 ExhA-649_vol2_CONF.pdf >>

Redacted

Principal Regulatory Case Manager | Operations Proceedings | Pacific Gas and Electric Co.

Redacted

Email communication may contain privileged or confidential information proprietary to Pacific Gas and Electric Co.. If you have received this communication in error, we ask that you advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Redacted Sent: Wednesday, November 09, 2011 2:22 PM To: 'Shori, Sunil' Cc: Horner, Trina; Singh, Sumeet Subject: RE: Pressure Restoration Filing

Thank you Sunil. We will use our best judgment to identify the exhibit page numbers (where applicable) in order to respond to your data request.

Redacted

Redacted

Principal Regulatory Case Manager I Operations Proceedings I Pacific Gas and Electric Co. Redacted

Email communication may contain privileged or confidential information proprietary to Pacific Gas and Electric Co.. If you have received this communication in error, we ask that you advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Shori, Sunil [mailto:sunil.shori@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Wednesday, November 09, 2011 2:17 PM To: Redacted Cc: Horner, Trina; Singh, Sumeet Subject: RE: Pressure Restoration Filing

Redacted

I don't have the data with me to be able to review the MAOP shown for M.P. 32.17 to 33.68; however, the corrected table is acceptable. As for the specific pages related to the questions, I can't provide those right now, but they are mostly related to the documentation for the excavations that PG&E provided.

Sunil

From: Redacted Sent: Wed 11/9/2011 12:44 PM To: Shori, Sunil Cc: Horner, Trina; Singh, Sumeet Subject: RE: Pressure Restoration Filing

Good Afternoon Sunil,

Per our discussion, please review the table below and kindly let us know if the proposed update is consistent w/ the discussions that you had w/ Sumeet and Shilpa. We would like to file this amendment by tomorrow afternoon. For your reference, I have also included the original table that was presented in our 10/31 filing.

Also, as discussed, we will await your guidance on the exhibit/page numbers for this morning's data request prior to submitting our response. In the meantime, we will continue to compile our answers based on the page numbers we believe you are referring to.

Thank you,

Redacted

Updated Table - Amendment to 10/31 filing

Redacted

Original Table included w/ the 10/31 Pleading

<< OLE Object: Picture (Device Independent Bitmap) >>

Redacted

Principal Regulatory Case Manager | Operations Proceedings | Pacific Gas and Electric Co.

Redacted

Email communication may contain privileged or confidential information proprietary to Pacific Gas and Electric Co.. If you have received this communication in error, we ask that you advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

-----Original Message-----

From: Shori, Sunil [mailto:sunil.shori@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Thursday, November 03, 2011 4:11 PM

To: Ramaiya, Shilpa R

Cc: Halligan, Julie

Subject:

Shilpa,

Redacted

However, I believe this is incorrect for Line 101 and possibly Lines 132A and 147. In the case of Line 101, I believe PG&E had specified the MAOP to be 400 psig up to Lomita Park Station. PG&E needs to confirm the MAOPs for all three lines and provide necessary corrections in its next filing. If PG&E does not agree with my assessment, please let me know.

Also, I would like to request the data included in Attachment B, to the October 31, 2011 filing, to be provided in an Excel Format, with the addition of columns which provide: the pressure

test duration and the start and end mile-point for each segment.

Please let me know if there are any questions.

Thanks, Shilpa.

Sunil