From: Serizawa, Linda Sent: 11/1/2011 8:27:10 AM Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4) To: Cc: Bcc: Subject: RE: SmartMeter Technology Advisory Panel Meeting - Scheduling Hi Sid. My staff forwarded this note from Cliff. Is there something you and I should discuss? Linda **From:** Gleicher, Cliff (SmartMeter) [mailto:CJGf@pge.com] **Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2011 3:20 PM To: Gupta, Aloke; Roberts, Thomas; Redacted Danforth, Christopher; David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov; mtoney@turn.org; erich@enernex.com Cc: Redacted Dietz, Sidney; Meadows, James L; Morey, Candace; Chan, Cherie Subject: RE: SmartMeter Technology Advisory Panel Meeting - Scheduling In the spirit of confirming that lawyers are in fact human (whether or not "persons"), let's go forward with this week's meeting with a full table of participants - lawyers, non-lawyers, etc. The future of PG&E's "TAP" is one of the items on the agenda, so perhaps we can revisit the issue there. Thanks. See you this week. From: Gupta, Aloke [mailto:aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Wednesday, October 12, 2011 3:52 PM **To:** Roberts, Thomas; Steve Townsend; Gleicher, Cliff (SmartMeter); Redacted Danforth, Christopher; David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov; mtoney@turn.org; erich@enernex.com Cc: Redacted Dietz, Sidney; Meadows, James L; Morey, Candace; Chan, Cherie Subject: RE: SmartMeter Technology Advisory Panel Meeting - Scheduling Per a recent Supreme Court decision, "lawyers" are considered "persons" too. However, the decision was split 5-4. (sorry, couldn't resist). Going back to Redacte call for topics, we would like to request an update on -deployment status / statistics -HAN implementation plan -"my account" web portal release/plan -status of AMI enabled customer programs (Net Metering, PTR, TOU-voluntary, Smart A/C, etc.?)

Our (strong) preference is to table the opt-out topic as that is already being actively discussed in a live

proceeding.

SB GT&S 0644514

Thanks.

Aloke Gupta
California Public Utilities Commission

o: 415.703.5239

e: aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov

From: Roberts, Thomas Sent: Wed 10/12/2011 3:22 PM	
To: 'Steve Townsend'; Gleicher, Cliff (SmartMeter); Redacted	Gupta, Aloke;
Danforth, Christopher; 'David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov'; 'mtoney@turn.org'; 'erich Cc: Redacted Dietz, Sidney; Meadows, James L; Morey, Candace; Chan, Che Subject: RE: SmartMeter Technology Advisory Panel Meeting - Scheduling	_
First, the TAP is now led by a lawyer, Cliff. Second, DRA's attorney, Candace Morey with unique insight on all facets of smart meter programs. Energy is a multidisciplina one's title does not necessarily indicate their technical interest or knowledge. I've rec Candace be invited to TAP meetings primarily because DRA has a small team with or	ry subject, and quested that

responsibilities: having the option for Chris, Candace, or our newest member Cherie to attend helps us

Tom

From: Steve Townsend Redacted

Sent: Wednesday, October 12, 2011 2:40 PM

participate while still managing our other workloads.

To: Gleicher, Cliff (SmartMeter); Redacted Gupta, Aloke; Danforth, Christopher;

Roberts, Thomas; 'David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov'; 'mtoney@turn.org'; 'erich@enernex.com'

Cc: Forsgard, Karen; Dietz, Sidney; Meadows, James L

Subject: Re: SmartMeter Technology Advisory Panel Meeting - Scheduling

I do not think an attorney would be likely to add significantly to a technology based discussion.

We might be able to have a more free and productive discussion without them.

Is there a rational for including them?

Steve Townsend

From: "Gleicher, Cliff (SmartMeter)" <cjgf@pge.com></cjgf@pge.com>	
To: Redacted	"'aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov'"
<'aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov'>; "'Danforth, Christopher'" <christopher.dar< td=""><td>nforth@cpuc.ca.gov>; "'Roberts,</td></christopher.dar<>	nforth@cpuc.ca.gov>; "'Roberts,
Thomas'" <thomas.roberts@cpuc.ca.gov>; "'David.Hungerford@energy</thomas.roberts@cpuc.ca.gov>	.ca.gov'"
<'David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov'>; "'mtoney@turn.org'" <'mtoney@t	urn.org'>;
"'erich@enernex.com" <'erich@enernex.com'>	
Cc: Redacted ; "Dietz, Sidney" <sbd4@pg6< td=""><td>e.com>; "Meadows, James L"</td></sbd4@pg6<>	e.com>; "Meadows, James L"
<j7m2@pge.com></j7m2@pge.com>	
Sent: Wednesday, October 12, 2011 1:46 PM	
Subject: FW: SmartMeter Technology Advisory Panel Meeting - Schede	uling
At our last TAP meeting, we spent a little bit of time discussing the focus	_
that would be on the next agenda for additional discussion. In that conte	•
that lawyers had started to become a regular part of these meetings. I'r	
this, but from my perspective the TAP meetings don't seem like lawyer-r	meetings. If the point is to talk
about technology and solicit input from you about PG&E's technological	choices, should we have

We also welcome your thoughts on other agenda items.

lawyers in the meetings? Thanks in advance for your thoughts.

Thanks.

From: Redacted

Sent: Thursday, October 06, 2011 5:08 PM

To: Gleicher, Cliff (SmartMeter)

Subject: FW: SmartMeter Technology Advisory Panel Meeting - Scheduling

Cliff,

Please see the closing sentence of Chris' note below where he mentions Candace's availability. At the end of the last TAP meeting, I believe you mentioned that attorneys would not be attending future sessions, with TAP's renewed focus on technology. Consequently, I sent my scheduling note to the original TAP members and did not include Candace or TURN's attorney. Please let me know how to proceed. Thanks. By the way, Chris is on vacation until October 17.

Redacted

From: Danforth, Christopher [mailto:christopher.danforth@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2011 5:49 PM To: Redacted
Cc: Roberts, Thomas; Morey, Candace Subject: RE: SmartMeter Technology Advisory Panel Meeting - Scheduling
Redacte my schedule is pretty clear for that time frame except for a regular management meeting on Wednesday mornings, hearing in an SDG&E case on 11/1, and various meetings in the mornings the rest of the week of 11/1. I will be on vacation the next two weeks, so hopefully the next TAP meeting won't be on 11/17, the day I return. I don't know Tom's or Candace's availability.
From: Redacted Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2011 12:32 PM To: Redacted '; Danforth, Christopher; Gupta, Aloke; Roberts, Thomas; 'David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov'; 'mtoney@turn.org'; 'erich@enernex.com'; Gleicher, Cliff (SmartMeter): Meadows, James L; Dietz, Sidney Cc: Redacted Subject: RE: SmartMeter Technology Advisory Panel Meeting - Scheduling
TAP members:
Thank you all for your responses regarding your availability for the first two weeks of October. Unfortunately, we do not have a quorum and need to try for a later time.
Would you please let me know your availability for the three weeks beginning Monday, October 17 through Friday, November 4?
Thank you for your patience with the scheduling challenges.
Redacted
From: Redacted Sent: Friday, September 16, 2011 10:55 AM To: 'Redacted 'Danforth, Christopher'; 'ag2@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'Roberts, Thomas'; 'David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov'; 'mtoney@turn.org'; 'erich@enernex.com'; Gleicher, Cliff

Cc: Redacted	Meadows, James L; Dietz, S		
TAP members:			
			y Advisory Panel in early October 14 so that we can
the status of PG		implementation for SmartM	al aspects of opt-out alternatives leter™ customers, and overall
Thank you for y	our input. If you have any q	_l uestions, please do not he	esitate to contact me.
Redacted			
Redacted SmartMeter™ Op Pacific Gas and	perations Electric Company		
edacted			