

From: Redacted
Sent: 11/10/2011 11:51:31 AM
To: Arocles Aguilar (aro@cpuc.ca.gov) (aro@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Kraska, David (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=DTK5);
bcb@CPUC.CA.GOV (bcb@CPUC.CA.GOV); Borak, Mary Jo
(maryjo.borak@cpuc.ca.gov); Mulligan, Jack M. (JM4@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: GO 131-D Advice Letters and GO 96-B

Hi Arocles,

I hope you are doing well!

Mary Jo and Billie suggested that I contact you after we met recently on project issues. I understand a question has again arisen about the whether GO 131-D advice letters should be filed under tier two of GO 96-B. You may recall that we had this discussion 3-4 years ago. At that time, Legal Division agreed that GO 131-D was a separate process, not under GO 96-B.

I am attaching a memo that I prepared to address the issue at that time. If Legal Division is reopening the issue, would you be willing to meet with David Kraska and me to discuss the reasoning? We would like the opportunity to address this, as we feel the original decision was the correct one.

Thanks very much for considering this request.

Redacted

<<...>>