

From: Clanon, Paul
Sent: 11/6/2011 6:08:33 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7);
Bottorff, Thomas E (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TEB3);
Frank Lindh (frank.lindh@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fwd: Line 132 Hydrotest Failure

I've asked the PUC safety staff to consider what else, if anything, the PUC should be doing on Line 132, given the two failures now in hydrotests and of course 9/9 itself. I'm aware that both leaks happened at 500 psi or higher.

Can we have a phone call tomorrow morning with Nick to compare thinking? I can be available anytime.

>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Ramaiya, Shilpa R [mailto:SRRd@pge.com]
> Sent: Sunday, November 06, 2011 05:15 PM
> To: Cooke, Michelle; Halligan, Julie; Shori, Sunil; Prosper, Terrie D.
> Cc: Horner, Trina <TNHc@pge.com>; Doll, Laura <LRDD@pge.com>; Dowdell, Jennifer <JKD5@pge.com>
> Subject: RE: Line 132 Hydrotest Failure
>
> Including Terrie as I'm hearing that media has been arriving. [Redacted] and [Redacted] from PG&E
> are heading there.
>
>
> _____
> From: Ramaiya, Shilpa R
> Sent: Sunday, November 06, 2011 5:12 PM
> To: michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov; julie.halligan@cpuc.ca.gov; sunil.shori@cpuc.ca.gov
> Cc: Horner, Trina; Doll, Laura; Dowdell, Jennifer
> Subject: Line 132 Hydrotest Failure
>
> Michelle, Julie, Sunil,
>
> I just learned of a hydrotest failure on T-31 that caused mud from the rupture to shut down part of Highway
> 280. No accidents and no injuries. It was at the corner of [Redacted]. And the pressure when it
> ruptured was above 500 psi.
>
> More details to come. Quang Pham of the CPUC was informed as he was observing T-30.
>
> 3 of 4 highway lanes are now open.
>
> Shilpa