

From: Tang, Clayton K.
Sent: 11/21/2011 11:06:19 AM
To: Sharp, Shelly (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SSM3)
Cc: Pocta, Robert M. (robert.pocta@cpuc.ca.gov); Enderby, Marshal B. (marshal.enderby@cpuc.ca.gov); Hunter, Stacey (stacey.hunter@cpuc.ca.gov); Kanter, Marek (marek.kanter@cpuc.ca.gov); [Redacted]
[Redacted]; [Redacted]
Hughes, John (Reg Rel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=J8HS); [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Total Compensation

We want to see if the companies responding to the RFP have the information. If they don't, can they get it? If they do have it, would it make sense for us to use it? To be decided.

A couple of things:

- [Redacted] We are now in Standard Time, not Daylight Savings Time.
- [Redacted] Is it realistic to have finalist interviews right after the New Year's holiday?

Otherwise, seems ok to send out RFP.

From: Sharp, Shelly [mailto:SSM3@pge.com]
Sent: Monday, November 21, 2011 9:17 AM
To: Tang, Clayton K.
Cc: Kanter, Marek; Hunter, Stacey; Enderby, Marshal B.; Pocta, Robert M.; [Redacted]
[Redacted] Hughes, John (Reg Rel)
Subject: RE: Total Compensation

Clayton

Your edits are fine. However, I want to be clear that our agreement to the revisions does not mean that

we will agree to include all the companies in the study without understanding all the potential comparator companies, and how - in particular the water companies and any small municipal utilities - meet the revenue criteria. Are you okay if we send out the RFP next week? I have attached below the proposed RFP timing based on feedback from our sourcing organization.

Shelly

| RFP Schedule | Date |
|--|-------------------|
| RFP Distributed to Bidders | 11/28/11 |
| Last Day to Submit Written Questions to PG&E | 11/30 12 P.M. PDT |
| PG&E Responds to Submitted Questions | 12/5/11 |
| Bidders Sign & Return Intent to Bid Form | 12/6/11 |
| RFP Responses Due | 12/12/11 |
| Short-list Bidders | 12/22/11 |
| Finalist Interviews (please reserve these dates) | 1/3/12, 1/4/12 |
| Target Date for Contract Execution | 1/23/12 |

From: Tang, Clayton K. [<mailto:clayton.tang@cpuc.ca.gov>]
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 2:59 PM
To: Sharp, Shelly; [Redacted] Hughes, John (Reg Rel)
Cc: Kanter, Marek; Hunter, Stacey; Enderby, Marshal B.; Pocta, Robert M.
Subject: RE: Total Compensation

DRA has some suggested changes. See page 3 of the draft RFP.

From: Sharp, Shelly [<mailto:SSM3@pge.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 9:52 AM
To: Pocta, Robert M.; Tang, Clayton K.
Cc: Kanter, Marek; Hunter, Stacey; Enderby, Marshal B.; Hughes, John (Reg Rel); [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Subject: Total Compensation

Mark and Clayton

Following up to see if you had any proposed changes to the proposed RFP for the Total Compensation

Study. Please advise.

Shelly