Decision	

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

[Proceeding Name]	[Proceeding Number]
Application of SCE et al. for Approval of 2009-2011 EE	A0807021, A0807022, A0807023,
Program Plans	A0807031

CLAIM AND DECISION ON REQUEST FOR INTERVENOR COMPENSATION

Claimant: WEM		For contribution to D.
Claimed (\$): 6030		Awarded (\$):
Assigned Commissioner:	Ferron	Assigned ALJ:
knowledge, information ar	nd belief. I further of been served this day	et forth in Parts I, II, and III of this Claim is true to my best certify that, in conformance with the Rules of Practice and by upon all required persons (as set forth in the Certificate of
	Signature:	/s/Barbara George
Date: 11-8-	Printed Name:	Barbara George

PART I: PROCEDURAL ISSUES (to be completed by Claimant except where indicated)

A. Brief Description of Decision: The decision modified decision D0909047, and denied rehearing, as modified.

B. Claimant must satisfy intervenor compensation requirements set forth in Public Utilities Code §§ 1801-1812:

	Claimant	CPUC Verified
Timely filing of notice of intent to c	laim compensation (§ 186	04(a)):
Date of Prehearing Conference:	276.00	
	a=W=V3	
2. Other Specified Date for NOI:	N/A	
3. Date NOI Filed:	4-15-09	

Showing of customer or custom	ner-related status (§ 1802(b)):
5. Based on ALJ ruling issued in proceeding number:	
6. Date of ALJ ruling:	
7. Based on another CPUC determination (specify):	D1107026
8. Has the claimant demonstrated customer or customer	r-related status?
Showing of "significant finan	cial hardship" (§ 1802(g)):
	Entertain Material Control of the Association (Control of the Association Control of the Association C
9. Based on ALJ ruling issued in proceeding number:	1
10. Date of ALJ ruling:	
11. Based on another CPUC determination (specify):	D1107026
12. Has the claimant demonstrated significant financial	hardship?
Timely request for com	pensation (§ 1804(c)):
13. Identify Final Decision	D1109020
14. Date of Issuance of Final Decision:	9-9-11
15. File date of compensation request:	11-8-11
16. Was the request for compensation timely?	

C. Additional Comments on Part I (use line reference # as appropriate):

#	Claimant	CPUC	Comment

PART II: SUBSTANTIAL CONTRIBUTION (to be completed by Claimant except where indicated)

A. In the fields below, describe in a concise manner Claimant's contribution to the final decision (see § 1802(i), § 1803(a) & D.98-04-059) (For each contribution, support with specific reference to final or record.)

Contribution	Citation to Decision or Record	Showing Accepted by CPUC
1. Among other things, WEM's AFR questioned OP 39 of the decision,	"The decision modified Ordering Paragraph Number ("OP") 39 of	

which granted utilities the ability to assess local government EE programs	D.09-09-047, to more closely conform the language in	
and modify or eliminate them. WEM was concerned that the utilities	this OP with the text of the Decision." D1109020, p. 2.	
would be able to dish out retribution for local governments that pursue Community Choice or municipalization.	The words, "or eliminate" were struck from the modified paragraph, and the phrase "as warranted" was changed to "as directed herein." This reined in the power of utilities to deal unfairly with local govt EE programs.	
2.		

B. Duplication of Effort (§§ 1801.3(f) & 1802.5):

	Claimant	CPUC Verified
a. Was DRA a party to the proceeding? (Y/N)	Y	
b. Were there other parties to the proceeding? (Y/N)	Y	
c. If so, provide name of other parties: TURN, NRDC, CCSF,	LGSEC	
d. Describe how you coordinated with DRA and other parties or how your participation supplemented, complemented, or of another party:		
WEM pursued its AFR separately, without involving other part	ties.	

C. Additional Comments on Part II (use line reference # or letter as appropriate):

#	Claimant	CPUC	Comment

PART III: REASONABLENESS OF REQUESTED COMPENSATION (to be completed by Claimant except where indicated)

A. General Claim of Reasonableness (§§ 1801 & 1806):

Concise explanation as to how the cost of claimant's participation bears a reasonable relationship with benefits realized through participation (include references to record, where appropriate)	CPUC Verified
WEM's efforts to bring EE misuse to the attention of the Commission, and to prevent such misuse by filing this AFR, among other things, was successful in reducing the misuse of funds and reining in the power of IOU administrators. The	
modifications in this decision put utilities on notice that there are limitations to	

what they can do to local government's EE programs, to prevent such misuse. The Commission was aware of PG&E's efforts to prevent formation of CCAs, including documented instances of manipulating EE funds and programs. If PG&E had been successful in preventing Marin Energy Authority from becoming a CCA, ratepayers would have been unable to purchase 27% renewable energy (as opposed to 17% by PG&E). The increased GHG emissions reductions of MEA are worth considerable funds to MEA cities and the county, although the exact amounts cannot be quantified at this time.

B. Specific Claim:

			CLAIMED				CPU	C AWARD	
			ATTOR	RNEY AND ADVOC	ATE FEES				
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
[Atty 1]			\$180	D0906016	6030				
[Atty 2]									
				Subtotal:				Subtotal:	
				EXPERT FEES					
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
[Expert 1]									
[Expert 2]									
				Subtotal:			;	Subtotal:	
	1	I		OTHER FEES OURLY FEES you ar	T				
	1	I		OURLY FEES you ar	T				
ltem	Describe I	Hours	OTHER H		e claiming	(paraleg Year	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
Item [Person 1]	1	I		OURLY FEES you ar	T				Total \$
ltem	1	I		OURLY FEES you ar	T		Hours		Total \$
Item [Person 1]	Year	Hours	Rate \$	OURLY FEES you ar Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
Item [Person 1]	Year	Hours	Rate \$	OURLY FEES you ar Basis for Rate* Subtotal:	Total \$	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
Item [Person 1] [Person 2]	Year	Hours	Rate \$	OURLY FEES you ar Basis for Rate* Subtotal: MPENSATION CLA	Total \$	Year	Hours	Rate \$	
Item [Person 1] [Person 2]	Year	Hours	Rate \$	OURLY FEES you ar Basis for Rate* Subtotal: MPENSATION CLA	Total \$	Year	Hours	Rate \$	
Item [Person 1] [Person 2] Item [Preparer 1]	Year	Hours	Rate \$	OURLY FEES you ar Basis for Rate* Subtotal: MPENSATION CLA	Total \$	Year	Hours N ** Hours	Rate \$	
Item [Person 1] [Person 2] Item [Preparer 1]	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate* Subtotal: MPENSATION CLA Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Year	Hours N ** Hours	Rate \$ Subtotal:	
Item [Person 1] [Person 2] Item [Preparer 1]	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate* Subtotal: MPENSATION CLA Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Year	Hours N ** Hours	Rate \$ Subtotal:	

Subtotal:	Subtotal:			
TOTAL REQUEST \$:	TOTAL AWARD \$:			
When entering items, type over bracketed text; add additional rows as necessary.				
*If hourly rate based on CPUC decision, provide decision number; otherwise, attach rationale.				
**Reasonable claim preparation time typically compensated at ½ of preparer's normal hourly rate.				

C. Attachments or Comments Documenting Specific Claim (Claimant completes; attachments not attached to final Decision):

Attachment or Comment #	Description/Comment	
1	Certificate of Service	

D. CPUC Disallowances & Adjustments (CPUC completes):

#	Reason

PART IV: OPPOSITIONS AND COMMENTS

Within 30 days after service of this claim, Commission Staff or any other party may file a response to the claim (see § 1804(c))

(CPUC completes the remainder of this form)

A. Oppos	ition: Did any party oppose the claim (Y/N)?		
If	`so:		
Party	Reason for Opposition	CPUC Disposition	
	ent Period: Was the 30-day comment period waived (see c)(6)) (Y/N)?		
If	not:		
Party	Comment	CPUC Disposition	
	FINDINGS OF FACT		
1. Claima	nt [has/has not] made a substantial contribution to Decision (D.) _		
2. The claimed fees and costs [, as adjusted herein,] are comparable to market rates paid to experts and advocates having comparable training and experience and offering similar services.			
3. The tot	3. The total of reasonable contribution is \$		
	CONCLUSION OF LAW		
1. The claim, with any adjustment set forth above, [satisfies/fails to satisfy] all requirements of Public Utilities Code §§ 1801-1812.			
	<u>ORDER</u>		
1. Claima	nt is awarded \$		
2. Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, shall pay claimant the total award. Payment of the award shall include interest at the rate earned on prime,			

three-month commercial paper as reported in Federal Reserve Statistical Release H.15, beginning, 200, the 75 th day after the filing of claimant's request, and continuing until full payment is made.
The comment period for today's decision [is/is not] waived.

- 3.
- 4. [This/these] proceeding[s] [is/are] closed.
- 5. This decision is effective today.

Dated _____, at San Francisco, California.

Attachment 1: Certificate of Service by Customer

I hereby certify that I have this day served a copy of the foregoing CLAIM AND
ORDER ON REQUEST FOR INTERVENOR COMPENSATION by (check as
appropriate):

[] hand delivery; [] first-class mail; and/or [] electronic mail	
to the following persons appearing on the	official Service List:
[Insert names and address	ses from official Service List]
Executed this [day] day of [month], 200_,	at [city], California. [Signature] [Printed name and address]