Agenda	ID#	
rigenda	111	

ъ		
Decision		

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

[Proceeding Name]	[Proceeding Number]
Application of SCE et al. for Approval of 2009-2011 EE	A0807021, A0807022, A0807023,
Program Plans	A0807031

CLAIM AND DECISION ON REQUEST FOR INTERVENOR COMPENSATION

Claimant:	WEM		For contribution to D.
Claimed (\$): 6030 Awarded (\$):		Awarded (\$):	
Assigned Cor	nmissioner	: Ferron	Assigned ALJ:
knowledge, in	formation as s Claim has	nd belief. I further of been served this da	et forth in Parts I, II, and III of this Claim is true to my best certify that, in conformance with the Rules of Practice and by upon all required persons (as set forth in the Certificate of
Toulers	ROMAN STATE OF THE	Signature:	/s/Barbara George
Date:	11-8- 11	Printed Name:	Barbara George

PART I: PROCEDURAL ISSUES (to be completed by Claimant except where indicated)

A. Brief Description of Decision: The decision modified decision D0909047, and denied rehearing, as modified.

B. Claimant must satisfy intervenor compensation requirements set forth in Public Utilities Code §§ 1801-1812:

	Claimant	CPUC Verified
Timely filing of notice of intent to	claim compensation (§ 18	804(a)):
Date of Prehearing Conference:	5-14-00	
	D=1U=U2	
2. Other Specified Date for NOI:	N/A	

3. Date NOI Filed:	4-15-09	
4. Was the notice of intent timely filed?		
Showing of customer or customer	er-related status (§ 1802(b)):	
5. Based on ALJ ruling issued in proceeding number:		
6. Date of ALJ ruling:		
7. Based on another CPUC determination (specify):	D1107026	
8. Has the claimant demonstrated customer or customer	-related status?	
Showing of "significant finance	cial hardship" (§ 1802(g)):	
9. Based on ALJ ruling issued in proceeding numberD		
10. Date of ALJ ruling:		
11. Based on another CPUC determination (specify): D	D1107026	
12. Has the claimant demonstrated significant financial	hardship?	
Timely request for comp	pensation (§ 1804(c)):	
13. Identify Final Decision	D1109020	
14. Date of Issuance of Final Decision:	9-9-11	
15. File date of compensation request:	11-8-11	
16. Was the request for compensation timely?		

C. Additional Comments on Part I (use line reference # as appropriate):

#	Claiman t	CPU C	Comment

PART II: SUBSTANTIAL CONTRIBUTION (to be completed by Claimant except where indicated)

A. In the fields below, describe in a concise manner Claimant's contribution to the final decision (see § 1802(i), § 1803(a) & D.98-04-059) (For each contribution, support with specific reference to final or record.)

Contribution	Citation to Decision or Record	Showing Accepted
--------------	--------------------------------	------------------

		by CPUC
1. Among other things, WEM's AFR questioned OP 39 of the decision, which granted utilities the ability to assess local government EE programs and modify or eliminate them. WEM was concerned that the utilities would be able to dish out retribution for local governments that pursue Community Choice or municipalization.	"The decision modified Ordering Paragraph Number ("OP") 39 of D.09-09-047, to more closely conform the language in this OP with the text of the Decision." D1109020, p. 2. The words, "or eliminate" were struck from the modified paragraph, and the phrase "as warranted" was changed to "as directed herein." This reined in the power of utilities to deal unfairly with local govt EE programs.	
2.		

B. Duplication of Effort (§§ 1801.3(f) & 1802.5):

	Claimant	CPUC Verified
a. Was DRA a party to the proceeding? (Y/N)	Y	
b. Were there other parties to the proceeding? (Y/N)	Y	
c. If so, provide name of other parties: TURN, NRDC, CCSI	F, LGSEC	
		Ġ.
d. Describe how you coordinated with DRA and other partie or how your participation supplemented, complemented, of another party:	-	

$\pmb{C. \ Additional \ Comments \ on \ Part \ II \ (use \ line \ reference \ \# \ or \ letter \ as \ appropriate):}}$

#	Claiman t	CPUC	Comment

PART III: REASONABLENESS OF REQUESTED COMPENSATION (to be completed by Claimant except where indicated)

A. General Claim of Reasonableness (§§ 1801 & 1806):

Concise explanation as to how the cost of claimant's participation	CPUC Verified
bears a reasonable relationship with benefits realized through	CFOC Verilled

participation (include references to record, where appropriate)

WEM's efforts to bring EE misuse to the attention of the Commission, and to prevent such misuse by filing this AFR, among other things, was successful in reducing the misuse of funds and reining in the power of IOU administrators. The modifications in this decision put utilities on notice that there are limitations to what they can do to local government's EE programs, to prevent such misuse. The Commission was aware of PG&E's efforts to prevent formation of CCAs, including documented instances of manipulating EE funds and programs. If PG&E had been successful in preventing Marin Energy Authority from becoming a CCA, ratepayers would have been unable to purehase 27% renewable energy (as opposed to 17% by PG&E). The increased GHG emissions reductions of MEA are worth considerable funds to MEA cities and the county, although the exact amounts cannot be quantified at this time.

B. Specific Claim:

CLAIMED					CPU	C A ward			
			ATTOR	RNEY AND ADVOC	ATE FEES				
ltem	Year	Hour s	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
[Atty 1]			\$180	D0906016	6030				
[Atty 2]									
				Subtotal:		Subtotal:			
				EXPERT FEES					
Item	Year	Hour s	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
[Expert 1]		The second second							
[Expert 2]									
	Subtotal:					Subtotal:			
D Item	escribe l	nere what	OTHER HO	OTHER FEES OURLY FEES you ar Basis for Rate*	e claiming	(paraleg	al, trave	el, etc.):	Total \$
[Person 1]	rear	Hours	παιο φ	Dusis for Rule	Ισιαι Ψ			παιο ψ	Total
[Person 2]				Subtotal:			<u> </u> ;	Subtotal:	
		INTERV	ENOR COI	Subtotal:	IM PREPA	RATIO		Subtotal:	
	Year	INTERVI	ENOR COI		IM PREPA	RATIO Year		Subtotal:	Total \$
[Person 2]				MPENSATION CLA	I		N **		Total \$

	Subtotal:			Subtotal:		
	COSTS					
#	Item	Detail		Amount	Amount	
			Subtotal:		Subtotal:	
			TOTAL REQUEST \$:		TOTAL AWARD \$:	

When entering items, type over bracketed text; add additional rows as necessary.

C. Attachments or Comments Documenting Specific Claim (Claimant completes; attachments not attached to final Decision):

Attachment or Comment #	Description/Comment		
1	Certificate of Service		

D. CPUC Disallowances & Adjustments (CPUC completes):

#	Reason

^{*}If hourly rate based on CPUC decision, provide decision number; otherwise, attach rationale.

^{**}Reasonable claim preparation time typically compensated at ½ of preparer's normal hourly rate.

PART IV: OPPOSITIONS AND COMMENTS

Within 30 days after service of this claim, Commission Staff or any other party may file a response to the claim (see § 1804(c))

(CPUC completes the remainder of this form)

A.	Opposit	ion: Did any party oppose the claim (Y/N)?			
	If so				
	Party	Reason for Opposition	CPUC Disposition		
		nt Period: Was the 30-day comment period waived (see 0(6)) (Y/N)?			
	I				
	Party	Comment	CPUC Disposition		
		FINDINGS OF FACT			
1.	Claimant	[has/has not] made a substantial contribution to Decision (D.)	<u>.</u>		
2.		ned fees and costs [, as adjusted herein,] are comparable to marke and advocates having comparable training and experience and or rvices.	•		
3.	3. The total of reasonable contribution is \$				
		CONCLUSION OF LAW			
1.	1. The claim, with any adjustment set forth above, [satisfies/fails to satisfy] all requirements of Public Utilities Code §§ 1801-1812.				
		<u>ORDER</u>			
1.	Claimant	is awarded \$			
2.	Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, shall pay claimant the total award. Payment of the award shall include interest at the rate earned on prime,				

	three-month commercial paper as reported in Federal Reserve Statistical Release H.15, beginning, 200, the 75 th day after the filing of claimant's request, and continuing until full payment is made.
3.	The comment period for today's decision [is/is not] waived.
4.	[This/these] proceeding[s] [is/are] closed.
5.	This decision is effective today.

3.

4.

Attachment 1: Certificate of Service by Customer

I hereby certify that I have this day served a copy of the foregoing CLAIM AND
ORDER ON REQUEST FOR INTERVENOR COMPENSATION by (check as
appropriate):

appropriate):	
[] hand delivery;[] first-class mail; and/or[] electronic mail	
to the following persons appearing on the o	official Service List:
[Insert names and address	ses from official Service List]
Executed this [day] day of [month], 200_,	at [city], California.
	[Signature]
	[Printed name and address]