

From: Florio, Michel Peter
Sent: 12/14/2011 7:59:15 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Heads up on this: pipeline safety bill statement

Thank you -- well stated!! On an only tangentially related topic, is PG&E Corp still involved in the long-dormant Pacific Connector pipeline, that was originally designed to bring LNG from the proposed Coos Bay LNG terminal over to the PG&E/GTN main line? I bring this up because of the recent discussion about converting Coos Bay to an EXPORT terminal to ship US gas overseas. Such a project would undoubtedly increase the cost of gas for PG&E's customers, so it would not look good for PG&E to be involved in facilitating such an outcome. So, if PG&E is still involved, this might be a good time to reconsider that. I don't know who else at PG&E to talk to about this, so if you could run the concern up the flagpole I would appreciate it. Many thanks, Mike

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2011 6:11 PM
To: Florio, Michel Peter
Subject: FW: Heads up on this: pipeline safety bill statement

Mike - FYI

From: Frizzell, Roger
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2011 6:10 PM
To: All PGE Officers
Cc: All PGE Officer Assistants (Corp); All PGE Officer Assistants (Utility)
Subject: Heads up on this: pipeline safety bill statement

All,

FYI. Attached is a statement from Tony Earley that we are proactively sharing with reporters and other stakeholders this afternoon affirming our support for the new pipeline safety legislation passed by the U.S. Senate last night and the House earlier this week. As you'll see in the statement, we emphasize that PG&E is already taking measures that put us ahead of the requirements in this bill and, in fact, put us on pace to be one of the first utilities in the country to meet the new rules regarding testing and inspections of older lines.

Roger
