

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 12/22/2011 11:35:37 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe); Simon, Sean A. (sean.simon@cpuc.ca.gov); Douglas, Paul (paul.douglas@cpuc.ca.gov); Schultz, Adam (adam.schultz@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: Advice Letter requirements for your January filings

Hi Sandy,

I am just getting back to you after our conversation at the last PRG meeting with Gary with respect to what to include in the four advice letters that will be filed with us in January.

As we discussed, can you please include:

- 1) The claimed portfolio content category of the proposed procurement (requirement in the PCC Decision)
- 2) The risks that the procurement will ultimately be classified in the claimed portfolio content category (requirement in the PCC Decision)
- 3) The value to ratepayer of the procurement as proposed to the value to ratepayer if the procurement is not ultimately classified in the claimed portfolio content category (requirement in the PCC Decision)
- 4) A table that lists all of the contracts that have been executed by PG&E in the same time period that the said contract was negotiated and executed. In this table include a) generation type, COD, term (years), capacity (MW), generation (GWh/y), post TOD-adjusted price (\$/MWh), Net Market Value (\$/MWh), location (by state), PVC score, and the PAV. In addition, please provide the un-weighted average of the cohort list for any numerical values. Below the cohorts that are averaged, please include the same information for the said contract.

Until we formally reform the advice letter template, which will be in February, can you please include this information for any advice letters that are submitted to the Commission.

Thanks Sandy,

Have a great holiday.

Redacted

Renewable Policy and Procurement

Redacted