

From: Cooke, Michelle  
Sent: 12/15/2011 6:10:33 PM  
To: Doll, Laura (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=LRDD)  
Cc: Hogenson, Todd (GT&D)  
(/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TRH4); [Redacted]  
[Redacted]  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Follow Up from Burlingame Meeting

I haven't sent any letter. After looking at the letter sent to us, I'm not sure we need to send a response. I'll be talking with Paul about that at some point soon.

I'll let you know if we do decide to send one.

Michelle

**From:** Doll, Laura [mailto:LRDD@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 15, 2011 4:21 PM  
**To:** Cooke, Michelle  
**Cc:** [Redacted] Hogenson, Todd (GT&D)  
**Subject:** FW: Follow Up from Burlingame Meeting

Michelle

Getting back to you on your previous follow-up email. Based on [Redacted] discussions with Richard Napier, it appears that CCAG wants to hold off deciding anything until January, and even then it does not appear that CCAG is interested in having a 2012 transmission work update at the January meeting (sorry Todd!).

Did you send your letter to CCAG?

Perhaps we should touch base again after Jim's January meeting with Napier or after you hear back from CCAG, whichever comes first?

Laura

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 13, 2011 8:52 AM  
**To:** Doll, Laura  
**Subject:** Re: Follow Up from Burlingame Meeting

It seems like everyone is in agreement that we will let C/CAG take the lead on the pilot program.

Last week I talked with Richard Napier and attended the Board meeting. During our conversation, Napier stated that he wanted to defer discussing the items in the letter until January. We will meet in January before the Board meeting, but I do not anticipate that we will be presenting at the January meeting.

[Redacted]  
Government Relations  
Pacific Gas and Electric  
[Redacted]

**From:** Cooke, Michelle [<mailto:michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov>]  
**Sent:** Friday, December 09, 2011 04:09 PM  
**To:** Doll, Laura  
**Cc:** Lee, Dennis M. <[dennis.lee@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:dennis.lee@cpuc.ca.gov)>  
**Subject:** Follow Up from Burlingame Meeting

Laura- Following up on the Burlingame meeting. Couple of things:

- 1) We should identify a couple of other cities where doing a big push of information like we did with Burlingame would be doable and useful. Suggestions are Foster City and East Palo Alto (we did a wealthy city already, spread it around...).
- 2) Did Jim get any commitment/interest from C/CAG to have any sort of standing utility safety topic on their agenda? I seem to recall that the suggestion was to have Todd do something on January meeting updating on the transmission work that is planned and then February or March have someone do the same sort of thing on Aldyl-A and describe the approach to mitigating risk there. Did that go anywhere?

Thanks.

**Michelle Cooke**, Interim Director

Consumer Protection and Safety Division

415 703 2349

[mlc@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:mlc@cpuc.ca.gov)