

From: Shori, Sunil
Sent: 12/13/2011 6:05:47 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: Singh, Sumeet
(/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=S1ST56905772); Ramaiya,
Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); Halligan, Julie
(julie.halligan@cpuc.ca.gov); [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Line 101 Short - GCUST7013

Anthea,

PG&E's corrections to the GCUST7013 documents are acceptable.

Thank you.

Sunil

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2011 5:21 PM
To: Shori, Sunil
Cc: Halligan, Julie; Ramaiya, Shilpa R; [Redacted]; Singh, Sumeet
Subject: RE: Line 101 Short - GCUST7013

Sunil,

Here is an updated copy of the GCUST7013 documents. We have removed the comments next to Features 19-21 and 26 as page 6 of 17 indicates that no strength tests were performed on these features.

Please let me know if you have any other concerns. Thank you.

Redacted

From: Shori, Sunil [mailto:sunil.shori@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2011 4:25 PM
To: Redacted
Cc: Halligan, Julie; Ramaiya, Shilpa R; Redacted Singh, Sumeet
Subject: RE: Line 101 Short - GCUST7013

Redacted

I apologize for not being as clear in my earlier note as I could have been.

I understand Features 19-21 and 26 were not pressure tested on December 7th because they are valves, meters or have an ANSI rating. My point was that the date (December 8th) and the reference within the comments, to no "hydrotest" having been conducted, is incorrect. My suggestion would be to simply change the comments for Features 19-21 and 26 to reflect the correct date, December 7th, on which the "pressure test" (because nitrogen was used in testing and not water) was performed on components other than Features 19-21 and 26.

Thank you, Redacted

Sunil

From: Redacted
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2011 4:08 PM
To: Shori, Sunil
Cc: Halligan, Julie; Ramaiya, Shilpa R; Redacted Singh, Sumeet
Subject: RE: Line 101 Short - GCUST7013

Sunil,

The features you noted on the PFL and the MAOP Report were not tested on Dec 7th or 8th. These components were not tested due to the potential to damage the equipment during the pressure test, and the fact that they are ANSI 300 rated components that bolt into the customer meter set and are pressure rated by the manufacturer. A feature by feature break down follows:

Feature 19 – This a filter assembly.

Features 20 and 21 – These are regulators and would likely be damaged during a pressure test unless they were completely stripped to their shells.

Feature 26 – This is a downstream meter that is beyond the regulation. The meter and the surrounding components will only see 40 psi. This is not actually transmission pipe and was included on the PFL only to show the extent of our testing. The MAOP Report does not include this component since it will not see the full MAOP.

If you have any other questions or concerns, please let me know. Thank you.

Redacted

From: Shori, Sunil [<mailto:sunil.shori@cpuc.ca.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2011 1:52 PM
To: Redacted
Cc: Halligan, Julie; Ramaiya, Shilpa R; Redacted Singh, Sumeet
Subject: RE: Line 101 Short - GCUST7013

Redacted

On page 1 of 8 of the Pipeline Features List, Features 19-21 and 26 are noted as not having been hydro-tested on 12/08/2011. It appears the only pressure test conducted was on December 7, 2011 and that was done using nitrogen as the test medium. Other than needing to correct this item within the MAOP validation document, everything else appears to be in order.

Thank you, Redacted

Sunil

From: Redacted
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2011 11:25 AM
To: Shori, Sunil
Cc: Halligan, Julie; Ramaiya, Shilpa R; Redacted; Singh, Sumeet
Subject: Line 101 Short - GCUST7013

Sunil,

PG&E has completed the replacement and pressure testing of Line 101 Short - GCUST7013. Attached for your reference are the updated pipeline features list, MAOP validation report, and strength test pressure report. Should you have any concerns with the documentation, please advise no later than Thursday, 12/15, as PG&E plans to make its compliance filing by Friday, 12/16.

Thanks,

Redacted

Redacted