## IN THE MATTER OF CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION RULEMAKING 11-02-019

## SUPPLEMENTAL PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF EDWARD GIESEKING

## ON BEHALF OF SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION

DECEMBER 2, 2011

1       Rulemaking 11-02-01         2       3         3       BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION         4       Supplemental Prepared Direct Testimony         6       0         7       Q. 1         9       Please state your name and business address.         8       A. 1         9       Mountain Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89150-0002.         10       Q. 2         11       A. 2         12       am employed by Southwest Gas Corporation (Company) as Director/Pricir         12       and Tariffs.         13       Q. 3         14       Have there been any changes in your professional qualifications since the         14       filing of your prepared direct testimony in this proceeding?         15       A. 3         16       Q. 4         17       A. 4         18       No.         19       Commissioner. The Assigned Commissioner directed each utility to fi	-
<ul> <li>BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION Supplemental Prepared Direct Testimony of Edward Gieseking</li> <li>7 Q. 1 Please state your name and business address.</li> <li>8 A. 1 My name is Edward Gieseking. My business address is 5241 Sprin Mountain Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89150-0002.</li> <li>Q. 2 By whom are you employed and in what capacity?</li> <li>1 A. 2 I am employed by Southwest Gas Corporation (Company) as Director/Pricin and Tariffs.</li> <li>Q. 3 Have there been any changes in your professional qualifications since the filing of your prepared direct testimony in this proceeding?</li> <li>A. 3 No.</li> <li>Q. 4 What is the purpose of your supplemental prepared direct testimony?</li> <li>A. 4 My supplemental prepared direct testimony is being filed in response to the November 2, 2011 Amended Scoping Memo and Ruling of the Assigned</li> </ul>	
of Edward Gieseking         6         7       Q. 1       Please state your name and business address.         8       A. 1       My name is Edward Gieseking. My business address is 5241 Sprin Mountain Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89150-0002.         10       Q. 2       By whom are you employed and in what capacity?         11       A. 2       I am employed by Southwest Gas Corporation (Company) as Director/Pricin and Tariffs.         13       Q. 3       Have there been any changes in your professional qualifications since the filing of your prepared direct testimony in this proceeding?         15       A. 3       No.         16       Q. 4       What is the purpose of your supplemental prepared direct testimony?         17       A. 4       My supplemental prepared direct testimony is being filed in response to the November 2, 2011 Amended Scoping Memo and Ruling of the Assigned	
<ul> <li>6</li> <li>7 Q. 1 Please state your name and business address.</li> <li>8 A. 1 My name is Edward Gieseking. My business address is 5241 Sprin Mountain Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89150-0002.</li> <li>10 Q. 2 By whom are you employed and in what capacity?</li> <li>11 A. 2 I am employed by Southwest Gas Corporation (Company) as Director/Pricin and Tariffs.</li> <li>13 Q. 3 Have there been any changes in your professional qualifications since th filing of your prepared direct testimony in this proceeding?</li> <li>15 A. 3 No.</li> <li>16 Q. 4 What is the purpose of your supplemental prepared direct testimony?</li> <li>17 A. 4 My supplemental prepared direct testimony is being filed in response to th November 2, 2011 Amended Scoping Memo and Ruling of the Assigned</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>A. 1 My name is Edward Gieseking. My business address is 5241 Sprin Mountain Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89150-0002.</li> <li>Q. 2 By whom are you employed and in what capacity?</li> <li>A. 2 I am employed by Southwest Gas Corporation (Company) as Director/Pricin and Tariffs.</li> <li>Q. 3 Have there been any changes in your professional qualifications since th filing of your prepared direct testimony in this proceeding?</li> <li>A. 3 No.</li> <li>Q. 4 What is the purpose of your supplemental prepared direct testimony?</li> <li>A. 4 My supplemental prepared direct testimony is being filed in response to th November 2, 2011 Amended Scoping Memo and Ruling of the Assigned</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Mountain Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89150-0002.</li> <li>Q. 2 By whom are you employed and in what capacity?</li> <li>A. 2 I am employed by Southwest Gas Corporation (Company) as Director/Pricinand Tariffs.</li> <li>Q. 3 Have there been any changes in your professional qualifications since the filing of your prepared direct testimony in this proceeding?</li> <li>A. 3 No.</li> <li>Q. 4 What is the purpose of your supplemental prepared direct testimony?</li> <li>A. 4 My supplemental prepared direct testimony is being filed in response to the November 2, 2011 Amended Scoping Memo and Ruling of the Assigned</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>10 Q. 2 By whom are you employed and in what capacity?</li> <li>11 A. 2 I am employed by Southwest Gas Corporation (Company) as Director/Pricinand Tariffs.</li> <li>13 Q. 3 Have there been any changes in your professional qualifications since the filing of your prepared direct testimony in this proceeding?</li> <li>15 A. 3 No.</li> <li>16 Q. 4 What is the purpose of your supplemental prepared direct testimony?</li> <li>17 A. 4 My supplemental prepared direct testimony is being filed in response to the November 2, 2011 Amended Scoping Memo and Ruling of the Assigned</li> </ul>	ıg
<ul> <li>A. 2 I am employed by Southwest Gas Corporation (Company) as Director/Pricinand Tariffs.</li> <li>Q. 3 Have there been any changes in your professional qualifications since the filing of your prepared direct testimony in this proceeding?</li> <li>A. 3 No.</li> <li>Q. 4 What is the purpose of your supplemental prepared direct testimony?</li> <li>A. 4 My supplemental prepared direct testimony is being filed in response to the November 2, 2011 Amended Scoping Memo and Ruling of the Assigned</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>and Tariffs.</li> <li>Q. 3 Have there been any changes in your professional qualifications since the filing of your prepared direct testimony in this proceeding?</li> <li>A. 3 No.</li> <li>Q. 4 What is the purpose of your supplemental prepared direct testimony?</li> <li>A. 4 My supplemental prepared direct testimony is being filed in response to the November 2, 2011 Amended Scoping Memo and Ruling of the Assigned</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>13 Q. 3 Have there been any changes in your professional qualifications since the filing of your prepared direct testimony in this proceeding?</li> <li>15 A. 3 No.</li> <li>16 Q. 4 What is the purpose of your supplemental prepared direct testimony?</li> <li>17 A. 4 My supplemental prepared direct testimony is being filed in response to the November 2, 2011 Amended Scoping Memo and Ruling of the Assigned</li> </ul>	ıg
<ul> <li>filing of your prepared direct testimony in this proceeding?</li> <li>A. 3 No.</li> <li>Q. 4 What is the purpose of your supplemental prepared direct testimony?</li> <li>A. 4 My supplemental prepared direct testimony is being filed in response to the November 2, 2011 Amended Scoping Memo and Ruling of the Assigned</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>15 A. 3 No.</li> <li>16 Q. 4 What is the purpose of your supplemental prepared direct testimony?</li> <li>17 A. 4 My supplemental prepared direct testimony is being filed in response to the November 2, 2011 Amended Scoping Memo and Ruling of the Assigned</li> </ul>	ie
<ul> <li>16 Q. 4 What is the purpose of your supplemental prepared direct testimony?</li> <li>17 A. 4 My supplemental prepared direct testimony is being filed in response to the November 2, 2011 Amended Scoping Memo and Ruling of the Assigned</li> </ul>	
17A.4My supplemental prepared direct testimony is being filed in response to the November 2, 2011 Amended Scoping Memo and Ruling of the Assigned18November 2, 2011 Amended Scoping Memo and Ruling of the Assigned	
18 November 2, 2011 Amended Scoping Memo and Ruling of the Assigned	
	e
10 Commissioner The Assigned Commissioner directed each utility to f	;d
The Assigned Commissioner directed each utility to m	le
20 supplemental testimony illustrating the rate impacts that its Implementation	'n
21 Plan will have on the various classes of customers, using the same co	st
22 allocation and rate design principles used in each utility's most recent	ly
23 adopted cost allocation decision.	
24 Q. 5 How has the Company prepared its customer rate impact analysis?	
25 A. 5 In lieu of establishing a new rate component for the recovery of	ts
26 Implementation Plan costs, the Company proposed the establishment of	а
27	

-1-

deferred regulatory asset account to which it would defer the depreciation expense, carrying charges and property taxes associated with the Implementation Plan, for recovery in the Company's next general rate case. To model the rate impact of the Implementation Plan on customers, the Company calculated the revenue requirement implications of the proposed pipe replacement activity and the amortization of the deferred regulatory asset.

First, the cost of service and rate design model adopted in the Company's last general rate case (Application 07-12-022) was used to determine the customer class cost responsibility associated with the projected \$7.4 million capital expenditure proposed in the Company's Implementation Plan. Next, the projected balance in the proposed deferred regulatory asset account was allocated to each customer class in the same proportion as the allocated revenue requirement and amortized over a three year rate case cycle. Customer impacts were then computed by dividing the sum of the customer class revenue requirement associated with the capital expenditure and the regulatory asset amortization, by the number of customer class annual bills. The average monthly bill impacts for each customer class are shown on Exhibit No. EBG-1 attached to my supplemental direct testimony.

21 Q. 6

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

26

27

Q. 6 Does this conclude your supplemental prepared direct testimony?

22 A. 6 Yes.

Form No. 155.0 (03/2001) Word

-2-

## Southwest Gas Corporation/Southern California Division Rulemaking 11-02-019 Implementation Plan Customer Impacts

	Rate		Customer Class Margin Responsibility			Allocation	Regulatory	Average Monthly
	Schedule	Annual Bills	Authorized	w/ Plan	Increase	Proportion	Deferral	Bill Impact
Residential, Primary	GS-10	1,467,698	\$43,488,013	\$44,528,607	\$1,040,594	79.08%	\$197,691	\$0.84
Residential, Secondary	GS-15	124,437	\$4,113,289	\$4,248,242	\$134,953	10.26%	\$25,638	\$1.29
Core General	GS-35/40	72,912	\$6,145,000	\$6,254,356	\$109,356	8.31%	\$20,775	\$1.78
Motor Vehicle	GS-50	72	\$59,412	\$60,822	\$1,410	0.11%	\$268	\$23.30
Internal Combustion Engine	GS-60	168	\$42,513	\$43,084	\$571	0.04%	\$108	\$4.04
Noncore General	GS-70	156	\$560,693	\$566,003	\$5,310	0.40%	\$1,009	\$40.51
Multifamily Master Metered	GS-20/25	864	\$536,206	\$559,948	\$23,742	1.80%	\$4,510	\$32.70