

From: Dietz, Sidney
Sent: 1/4/2012 3:43:10 PM
To: Tisdale, Matthew (matthew.tisdale@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Demand Response Memo Accounts

Cool.

From: Tisdale, Matthew [mailto:matthew.tisdale@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 04, 2012 3:42 PM
To: Dietz, Sidney
Subject: Re: Demand Response Memo Accounts

Call u about 4

On Jan 4, 2012, at 3:33 PM, "Dietz, Sidney" <SBD4@pge.com> wrote:

Matthew --

I think we're ready with the answers, but I have a very quick process question for you -- can you call me at 973-5921?

yours,

sid

From: Tisdale, Matthew [mailto:matthew.tisdale@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 04, 2012 1:50 PM
To: Dietz, Sidney
Subject: Demand Response Memo Accounts

Hi Sid,

Next week Commissioner Florio will have to vote on item 72, "ratifying" a 12.28.11 ACR from President Peevey (<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/efile/RULINGS/156440.pdf>).

The ruling says "the utilities are directed to continue to record all expenses in the accounts where such expenses are currently recorded as authorized in prior

Commission demand response decisions." Some questions about this for PG&E:

- Are the DR accounts balancing or memorandum?
- What is the current status of the accounts?
- How have recorded expenditures in these accounts been reviewed by the Commission in the past?
- What expectations does PG&E have for recording expenses between now and April 1?
- What assumptions is PG&E making about DR activity between now and then in projecting the expenses it will record?
- What are PG&E's expectations about recovery of recorded expenses in rates?

Obviously, this item is very time sensitive, so I would appreciate PG&E responding as quickly as practical.

Best,

Matthew