

From: Schultz, Adam
Sent: 1/25/2012 9:55:18 AM
To: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe); Redacted
Redacted
Cc: Simon, Jason (jason.simon@cpuc.ca.gov); Douglas, Paul
(paul.douglas@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Quick Question

Thanks for the reply, Redacted

Could you provide the Excel sheet that PG&E used to calculate these projections? I'm manipulating some data on my end and it might make it easier for me.

Let me know.

Thanks,

Adam Schultz

Adam C. Schultz, J.D. | Renewable Energy Policy & Procurement
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone: 415.703.2692

Adam.Schultz@cpuc.ca.gov | www.cpuc.ca.gov

From: Redacted
Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2012 9:24 AM
To: Allen, Meredith; Schultz, Adam
Cc: Simon, Jason; Douglas, Paul
Subject: RE: Quick question

Adam,

Responses to your questions below:

(1) Correct, projected deliveries from the initial short-list are not included as we only include contracts that have been executed (or are part of the approved programs)

(2) Correct, executed bilaterals (e.g., Shilo IV) are included in the base and high need case. Per our modeling methodology, executed contracts were counted at 100% of expected volumes in the base case. If the project was not-yet-under-construction, we only counted 60% of the expected volumes in the high need case.

Thanks,

Redacted

From: Allen, Meredith

Sent: Tuesday, January 24, 2012 7:54 PM

To: 'adam.schultz@cpuc.ca.gov'

Cc: 'jason.simon@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'paul.douglas@cpuc.ca.gov'; Redacted

Subject: Re: Quick question

Hi Adam,

Redacted will track down this information and provide you with a response tomorrow.

Thanks,
Meredith

From: Schultz, Adam [<mailto:adam.schultz@cpuc.ca.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, January 24, 2012 02:42 PM

To: Allen, Meredith

Cc: Simon, Jason <jason.simon@cpuc.ca.gov>; Douglas, Paul <paul.douglas@cpuc.ca.gov>

Subject: Quick question

Meredith,

I have a quick question for you. I'm working with some PG&E data and I have a question about the utility's RPS need forecast as included in the 2011 RFO workpapers submitted back in November.

In the 2011 RFO shortlist worksheets, there's a "total net short" tab. I just want to be clear on what is included in those calculations.

- (1) Projected deliveries from projects on PG&E initial 2011 Short-list (as submitted in Nov, not the revised submission in Jan 2012): not included?
- (2) Projected deliveries from bi-laterally negotiated PPAs that were executed through Aug 31 2011 (such as: Shiloh IV) but that were not yet approved by the PUC at the time the short-list was submitted: are included in the forecasted need?

Let me know if my question makes sense, or if you have any follow-ups. I'm just trying to make sure that I understand exactly what PG&E is counting and not counting when projecting its "base case need" and "high need".

Thanks,

Adam Schultz

Adam C. Schultz, J.D. | Renewable Energy Policy & Procurement
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone: 415.703.2692

Adam.Schultz@cpuc.ca.gov | www.cpuc.ca.gov