From:	Schultz, Adam
Sent:	1/30/2012 5:15:07 PM
To:	Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe)
Cc:	Simon, Jason (jason.simon@cpuc.ca.gov); Lee, Cheryl (cheryl.lee@cpuc.ca.gov); Douglas, Paul (paul.douglas@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:	
Subject:	Revised short-list

Meredith,

I wanted to follow-up with you on PG&E's revised RPS short-list.

First, a general question: is PG&E not going to include any REC products on its revised shortlist? A dozen or so REC products were included on the initial short-list, but I see no mention of these in your revised Excel sheet that you sent on Friday.

Also, after talking with our procurement team, I wanted to hit a few data points that we want to see included in your revised filing (some of which may already be included in the short-list template, and some of which may be additional):

- 1) New Independent Evaluator report for the Revised Short-list
- 2) Break-out of the PAV scores (by Excel column) into their component values
- 3) Update all data with new forward-curve price information
- 4) Update RA network upgrade X-Y scatterplot
- 5) Provide qualitative rationale for why PG&E is short-listing these projects

6) Provide a separate Excel tab ranking the revised short-list in order of 'major milestone' viability

• Site control, permitting status, interconnection progress, and transmission system upgrade requirements

• For more detailed description see Table 2. (Description of High, Medium, and Low Viability Categories) on Page 5 of the Commission's Q3 2011 RPS Report to the Legislature [url: <u>http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/NR/rdonlyres/2A2D457A-CD21-46B3-A2D7-757A36CA20B3/0/Q3RPSReporttotheLegislatureFINAL.pdf</u>]

Let me know if you have any questions about any of these items.

Thanks,

Adam Schultz

Adam C. Schultz, J.D. | Renewable Energy Policy & Procurement California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: 415.703.2692

Adam.Schultz@cpuc.ca.gov | www.cpuc.ca.gov