

Honesto Gatchalian
Energy Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
E-mail: jnj@cpuc.ca.gov
Subject: CARE's Comments on Draft Resolution E-4471

Dear Mr. Gatchalian:

CALifornians for Renewable Energy, Inc. (CARE) submits the following comments on Draft Resolution E-4471, which directs Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E), Southern California Edison (SCE), and San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E) to try to negotiate a contract with Sutter Energy Center to end no later than December 31, 2012.

What's with the corporate welfare for Calpine?

The CPUC is trying to tell their regulated utilities PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E who they have to contract for and how much to pay for Calpine's Sutter Plant. I worked on the California Energy Commission license for the Sutter Plant and it was brought online with the promise that it would be a retiree when it wasn't needed anymore. That's the end of this year. Now when PG&E has 3GW of excess gas fired power plants available they want their succubus CPUC to tell them it's OK to sign a above market contract price for a plant that should be retiring since we don't need it. Looks like another lawsuit to me. So much for market certainty for merchant power generators? Instead of competition driving the price it is administratively being set by CPUC in violation of the commerce clause of the US Constitution giving preference for in state against out of state suppliers.

Speaking of lawsuits, my lawsuit naming the individual Commissioners and the CPUC for letting my utility steal my solar power as a QF is still alive and I'll say a special prayer tonight before I go to sleep asking the lord to give a jury of my peers an opportunity to hear the CPUC explain why you continue to violate federal law and my civil rights.

Respectfully,

By: 

Michael E. Boyd

Michael E. Boyd President
CALifornians for Renewable Energy,
Inc. (CARE)
5439 Soquel Drive
Soquel, CA 95073
Phone: (408) 891-9677
E-mail: michaelboyd@sbcglobal.net

January 31, 2012