

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 1/17/2012 2:20:05 PM
To: Kaneshiro, Bruce (bruce.kaneshiro@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: [Redacted]; Liang-Uejio,
Scarlett (scarlett.liang-uejio@cpuc.ca.gov) [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Direct Participation Proceeding

Bruce,

Happy New Year!

Just checking in to see what the status is of the Direct Participation proceeding (DR OIR Phase 4 Part 2, "Rule 24").

We are thinking that it may make sense for it to await the 2012-2014 DR Decision as well as see how the CAISO responds to the recent FERC decision on PDR and RDRR not complying with the FERC DR compensation approach.

Any news?

[Redacted]

Principal Regulatory Analyst
Demand Response
Pacific Gas and Electric Company

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2011 3:48 PM
To: 'Kaneshiro, Bruce'
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: Direct Participation Proceeding

Bruce,

We [Reda] and I) called you a few weeks back on the Direct Participation Proceeding (DR OIR Phase 4 Part 2) and the idea that a decision by the CPUC on the policy issues would make completion of the Rule 24 much easier to do than the current course of working directly on the draft Rule 24 without the policy guidance.

Would it be possible to talk to you or whoever you think it might be useful to talk to on this point?

We want the process that goes forward to be as smooth as possible and not require more time and effort by the parties than necessary. We are concerned that the current process may cause the parties (and CPUC) to work inefficiently on getting this Rule 24 in place. Let me know how it would be best to proceed.

Redacted

Principal Regulatory Analyst
Demand Response
Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted